



## Council, Committee or Workgroup Meeting Snapshot

### Meeting: Information Technology Council

**Date: August 19, 2020**

#### ITC Attendees

- MSHN - Forest Goodrich
- Bay – Brett Kish
- CEI – Joanne Holland
- Central – Jane Cole
- Gratiot – Ginger Hanley
- Huron - Shannon Wichert
- Lifeways – Alexis Shapiro
- Montcalm – Terry Reihl
- Newaygo - Jay Hollinger
- Saginaw – AmyLou Douglas
- Shiawassee - Doug Meylan
- Right Door – Jill Carter
- Tuscola - Tammy Smith

#### Guests

- MSHN – Shyam Marar
- MSHN – Steve Grulke
- MSHN – Linda Proper
- MSHN – Joseph Wager
- Central – Kevin Faught
- Gratiot – Alec Keck
- Lifeways – Holly Paige
- Montcalm – Bill Mason
- Newaygo – Jill McKay

#### KEY DISCUSSION TOPICS

- Approval of snapshot from June 2020
  - COVID-19 file processing
  - REMI BH-TEDS, Encounter reporting
  - Penetration rate report detailed review
  - 2021 MSHN site review materials
  - BH ADT sender update
  - CIO forum update
  - CHAMPS webinars and MDHHS communication
  - Other
- IT Council meeting will be call-in only for September

#### ✓ KEY DECISIONS

- June 17 minutes approved. Any changes, please send to [jennifer.mccoy@midstatehealthnetwork.org](mailto:jennifer.mccoy@midstatehealthnetwork.org)
- Shyam polled ITC members to confirm that we hold the splitting and posting of the COVID-19 lab result files on MSHN ftpsite because of the inconsistency in format. Steve continues to send positive record sets from REMI to each ITC member and the individual data file is available through REMI. Doug shared that he was comfortable getting the files through REMI. ITC members agreed.
- Shyam reported no concerns with BH-TEDS and encounter file processing. Joanne requested the feedback from ITC members regarding the MDHHS BH-TEDS request to eliminate “not collected” values for certain State required fields. Forest commented that he compiled the results from the ITC members that responded and submitted it to Carol H, Kathy H, and Phil C, per their request. Forest indicated that Phil and Carol received the feedback and were supportive. Forest will send out the communication after the meeting.
- Joe W. reviewed the quarterly penetration rate report with ITC members. He saw no concerns with volume comparisons between quarters. He cautioned that next quarter could look different due to COVID-19 and its impact on encounters submitted. ITC members talked briefly about doing some analysis. Brett talked about

	<p>some slight variance that he sees when he compares it with EMR data and this is to be expected based on timing and how intricately persons are tracked with Medicaid and Healthy Michigan, including spend down persons. Alexis commented that she talked with her project manager about adding the penetration rate report to their EMR and PCE Systems had indicated it was a fairly big effort so she elected to just use REMI and some SQL scripts on their data warehouse. Doug agreed, if its available through REMI then he can do analysis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forest posted the MSHN site review tool for FY21 on BOX and referred ITC members to take a closer look, but no major changes were being made for the Information Technology section. Joanne described how the technical review process will remain the same, with emphasis on change requirements by MDHHS for reporting BH-TEDS and encounters. MSHN will be looking at the conversion from H0043 to H2015 reporting for CLS. Completion rates on LOCUS scores and dates when required, making sure Medicaid IDs are not dropped on U records after submitting them with the M record for BH-TEDS and the process for monitoring compliance in each of these areas. The tools will be posted for ITC members to review and comment.</li> <li>• Forest reported back to ITC members that a MSHN annual review meeting with PCE Systems is coming up in the next week and so no further work to develop a pros and cons document for submitting BH ADTs as a region versus individual CMHSP submission has been done. Forest will get a sense from PCE Systems what makes the most sense and if it is feasible in REMI.</li> <li>• Alexis highlighted the CIO forum meeting items and they were mostly around performance indicators and EQI reporting Q/A. ASAM continuum group did a demonstration for using this assessment tool in place of GAIN. Still getting support by MDHHS and SUD provider network. Forest mentioned the communication from MDHHS regarding upcoming CHAMPS webinars for providers and that Jackie Sproat's message suggested it may be good for CMHSP and PIHP staff to attend as available because it would be good to hear what they are telling the providers about EVV and performance incentive measure rates determined by MDHHS using Symmetry. Joanne asked if MSHN would provide some notes on MDHHS communication about EVV and Symmetry use.</li> <li>• Forest announced that September ITC meeting will be conference call only. He also notified ITC members to look for new calendar invites for this year as MSHN is transitioning to Zoom meetings versus GoTo Meetings and ITC will start in September.</li> </ul>
<p>✓ <b>ACTION/INPUT REQUIRED</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forest will distribute the communication with MDHHS regarding "Not collected" BH-TEDS feedback.</li> <li>• Forest will check with PCE Systems to see viability of processing BH ADTs as a sender through REMI and report back to ITC members.</li> <li>• ITC members are encouraged to participate in CHAMPS webinars per MDHHS. MSHN staff will compile some notes regarding these sessions and what is being communicated.</li> </ul>
<p>✓ <b>KEY DATA POINTS/DATES</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ITC Meeting: September 16, 2020 1pm–3pm conference call only</li> <li>• CIO forum meeting: August 28, 2020 9am-11:30am conference call only</li> <li>• CHAMPS webinars: September 2, 9, 16, 23, 30 and October 7 from 10am-11am</li> </ul>