Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS)

Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities

Administration

2017–2018 External Quality Review Compliance Monitoring Report for Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans

Region 5—Mid-State Health Network

December 2018





Table of Contents

1.	Overview	1-1
	Background	1-1
	Description of the External Quality Review Compliance Monitoring Review	
	Overview of Findings	
2.	Methodology	2-1
	Activity Objectives	
	Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis	
	Description of Data Obtained and Related Time Period	
3.	Summary of Results	
J.	Standard VI—Customer Service	
	Standard VII—Grievance Process	
	Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation	
	Standard X—Provider Network	
	Standard XII—Access and Availability	
	Standard XIV—Appeals	
	Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions	
	Standard XVII—Management Information Systems	
4.	Performance Improvement Process	4-1
An	pendix A. Review of the Standards	





Background

According to federal requirements located within the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 42 CFR §438.358, the state, its agent that is not a Medicaid prepaid inpatient health plan (PIHP), or an external quality review organization (EQRO) must conduct a review to determine a Medicaid PIHP's compliance with the standards set forth in 42 CFR §438—Managed Care Subpart D and the quality assessment and performance improvement requirements described in 42 CFR §438.330. To comply with the federal requirements, the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities Administration (BHDDA) contracted with Health Services Advisory Group, Inc. (HSAG), as its EQRO to conduct compliance monitoring reviews of the PIHPs.

Description of the External Quality Review Compliance Monitoring Review

HSAG performed a desk review of **Mid-State Health Network**'s documents and completed an on-site review that included reviewing additional documents and case files and conducting interviews with key **Mid-State Health Network** staff members. HSAG evaluated the degree to which **Mid-State Health Network** complied with federal Medicaid managed care regulations and the associated MDHHS contract requirements in the following eight of 17 performance categories:

- Standard VI—Customer Service
- Standard VII—Grievance Process
- Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation
- Standard X—Provider Network
- Standard XII—Access and Availability
- Standard XIV—Appeals
- Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions
- Standard XVII—Management Information Systems

For the 2017–2018 review period, MDHHS has elected to conduct a review of eight performance standards. The remaining nine standards will be reviewed during the 2018–2019 review period. Several modifications to the review process were made for this year's compliance monitoring review compared to previous years. These modifications are discussed in *Section 2—Methodology* of this report.



Following this overview (**Section 1**), this report includes:

- **Section 2**—A description of the methodology HSAG used to conduct the compliance monitoring review and to draft its findings report.
- Section 3—A summary of HSAG's findings regarding Mid-State Health Network's performance results
- **Section 4**—A statement regarding the performance improvement process.
- Appendix A—The completed review tool used to evaluate Mid-State Health Network's compliance with each requirement contained within the standards.

Overview of Findings

Table 1.1 presents the total number of elements for each standard as well as the number of elements for each standard that received a score of *Met*, *Not Met*, or *Not Applicable* (N/A). Table 1.1 also presents **Mid-State Health Network**'s overall compliance score for each standard, the totals across the eight standards reviewed, and the total compliance score across all standards for the 2017–2018 compliance monitoring review. Refer to *Appendix A—Review of the Standards* for a detailed description of the findings.

Table 1.1—Summary of 2017–2018 Compliance Monitoring Review Results

	Total # of	Number of Elements			Total
Standard	Applicable Elements	Met	Not Met	N/A	Compliance Score
Standard VI—Customer Service	39	34	5	0	87%
Standard VII—Grievance Process	26	24	2	0	92%
Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation	11	10	1	0	91%
Standard X—Provider Network	12	12	0	1	100%
Standard XII—Access and Availability	19	18	1	0	95%
Standard XIV—Appeals	54	50	4	0	93%
Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions	14	14	0	0	100%
Standard XVII—Management Information Systems	14	14	0	0	100%
Total Compliance Score	189	176	13	1	93%

Total # of Applicable Elements—The total number of elements within each standard minus any elements that received designations of *NA*. Total Compliance Score—Elements that were *Met* were given full value (1 point). The point values were then totaled, and the sum was divided by the number of applicable elements to derive a percentage score.

Mid-State Health Network achieved full compliance in three out of the eight standards reviewed. The remaining five standards have identified opportunities for improvement. **Mid-State Health Network** demonstrated compliance in 176 out of 189 elements, with an overall compliance score of 93 percent.





Activity Objectives

According to 42 CFR §438.358, a state or its EQRO must conduct a review within a three-year period to determine the PIHPs' compliance with standards set forth in 42 CFR §438—Managed Care Subpart D and the quality assessment and performance improvement requirements described in 42 CFR §438.330. To complete this requirement, HSAG, through its EQRO contract with the State of Michigan, performed compliance monitoring reviews of the 10 PIHPs with which the State contracts.

The review standards are separated into 17 performance areas. MDHHS has elected to review the full set of standards over two review periods, as displayed in Table 2.1.

2017-2018 2018-2019 Standard I—Quality Assessment Performance Standard VI—Customer Service Improvement Program (QAPIP) Plan and Structure Standard II—Performance Measurement and Standard VII—Grievance Process **Improvement** Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation Standard III—Practice Guidelines Standard X—Provider Network Standard IV—Staff Qualifications and Training Standard XII—Access and Availability Standard V—Utilization Management Standard XIV—Appeals Standard VIII—Enrollees' Rights and Protections Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Standard XI—Credentialing **Criminal Convictions** Standard XVII—Management Information Systems Standard XIII—Coordination of Care Standard XVI—Confidentiality of Health Information

Table 2.1—Division of Standards Over Review Periods

This report presents the results of the 2017–2018 review period. MDHHS and the individual PIHPs use the information and findings from the compliance monitoring reviews to:

- Evaluate the quality and timeliness of and access to behavioral healthcare furnished by the PIHPs.
- Identify, implement, and monitor system interventions to improve quality.
- Evaluate current performance processes.
- Plan and initiate activities to sustain and enhance current performance processes.



Technical Methods of Data Collection and Analysis

Prior to beginning compliance reviews of the PIHPs, HSAG developed standardized tools for use during the reviews. The content of the tools was based on applicable federal regulations and the requirements set forth in the contract agreement between MDHHS and the PIHPs. The review processes and scoring methodology used by HSAG in evaluating the PIHPs' compliance were consistent with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) publication, *EQR Protocol 1: Assessment of Compliance with Medicaid Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory Protocol for External Quality Review (EQR)*, Version 2.0, September 2012.²⁻¹

For each of the PIHP reviews, HSAG followed the same basic steps:

Pre-on-site review activities included:

- Scheduling the on-site review.
- Developing the compliance monitoring review tools (*Documentation Request and Evaluation Tool*, Desk Audit Form, Customer Handbook Checklist, Provider Network Checklist, Grievance Audit Tool, and Appeal Audit Tool).
- Preparing for and forwarding to each PIHP the compliance monitoring review tools and instructions for submitting the requested documentation.
- Hosting a training webinar for all PIHPs in preparation for the review.
- Generating the sample selection for the on-site grievance and appeal case files reviews.
- Conducting a desk review of all completed review tools and supporting documentation submitted by the PIHP. The desk review enabled HSAG reviewers to increase their knowledge and understanding of the PIHP's operations, identify areas needing clarification, and begin compiling information before the on-site review.
- Preparing and forwarding the on-site review agenda to the PIHP.

On-site review activities included:

- An opening session, with introductions and a review of the agenda for and logistics of HSAG's twoday review activities.
- A review of 10 grievance and 10 appeal case files.²⁻²
- A review of the online customer handbook and provider directory.
- Interview sessions with the PIHP's key administrative and program staff members.
- A closing session during which HSAG reviewed and summarized preliminary findings.

²⁻¹ Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *EQR Protocol 1: Assessment of Compliance with Medicaid Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory Protocol for External Quality Review (EQR)*, Version 2.0, September 2012. Available at: https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/downloads/eqr-protocol-1.pdf. Accessed on: April 18, 2018.

²⁻² For PIHPs that had fewer than 10 grievance or appeal case files during the review period, HSAG reviewed the total number of cases that were available.



Reviewers used the compliance monitoring review tools to document findings regarding PIHP compliance with the standards. Based on the evaluation of findings, reviewers noted compliance with each element. The *Documentation Request and Evaluation Tool* listed the score for each element evaluated.

HSAG evaluated and scored each element addressed in the compliance monitoring review as *Met* (*M*), *Not Met* (*NM*), or *Not Applicable* (*NA*). The overall score for each of the eight standards was determined by totaling the number of *Met* (1 point), *Not Met* (0 points), and *Not Applicable* (no value) elements, then dividing the summed score by the total number of applicable elements for that standard. The scoring methodology is displayed in Table 2.2.

Compliance Designation	Point Value	Definition
Met	Value = 1 point	 Met indicates full compliance defined as all of the following: All documentation and data sources reviewed, including PIHP data and documentation, MDHHS data and documentation, file reviews, and systems demonstrations for a regulatory provision or component thereof are present and provide supportive evidence of congruence. Staff members provide to reviewers responses consistent with one another, with the data and documentation reviewed, and with the regulatory provisions.
Not Met	Value = 0 points	 Not Met indicates noncompliance defined as one or more of the following: Documentation and data sources are not present and/or do not provide supportive evidence of congruence with the regulatory provision. Staff members demonstrate little or no knowledge of processes or issues addressed by the regulatory provisions.
Not Applicable	No value	The requirement does not apply to the PIHP line of business during the review period.

Table 2.2—Scoring Methodology²⁻³

Several modifications to the review methodology may affect the comparability of findings from the 2017–2018 review to prior review periods. These modifications include, but are not limited to the following:

- The *Documentation Request and Evaluation Tool* was revised to align with new and/or revised federal and contract requirements, where applicable.
- The number of performance areas increased from 15 to 17. Standard XVII—Management Information Systems was reviewed during the 2017–2018 review period and Standard XVI—Confidentiality of Health Information will be reviewed during the 2018–2019 review period.

²⁻³ This scoring methodology is consistent with CMS' final protocol, *EQR Protocol 1: Assessment of Compliance with Medicaid Managed Care Regulations: A Mandatory Protocol for External Quality Review (EQR)*, Version 2.0, September 2012.



- Case file review findings were used in conjunction with the PIHP's supporting documentation to determine compliance with scoring elements, when applicable.
- Substantially Met and Partially Met were removed from the scoring methodology.
- The number of scoring elements increased. While many requirements remained the same, HSAG divided prior requirements with multiple components into separate elements that were scored independently.

Description of Data Obtained and Related Time Period

To assess the PIHP's compliance with federal regulations and contract requirements, HSAG obtained information from a wide range of written documents produced by the PIHP, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Committee meeting agendas, minutes, and handouts.
- Written policies and procedures.
- Management and monitoring reports.
- Provider manual and provider directory.
- Provider service and delegation agreements and contracts.
- Customer handbook and other written informational materials.
- Grievance and appeal records.
- Narrative and/or data reports across a broad range of performance and content areas.

Findings for the *Standard XII—Access and Availability* were derived from the Michigan Mission-Based Performance Indicator System (MMBPIS)—Access Domain, Performance Indicators 1 through 4b. The PIHPs routinely reported quarterly performance data to MDHHS. MDHHS provided data directly to HSAG for the three reporting quarters.

Interviews with PIHP staff (e.g., PIHP leadership, customer services staff, and grievances and appeals staff) provided additional information.

Table 2.3 lists the major data sources that HSAG used in determining the PIHP's performance in complying with requirements and states the time period to which the data applied.

Table 2.3—Data Sources ar	d Applicable Time Periods
---------------------------	---------------------------

Data Source	Time Period to Which the Data Applied
Desk review documentation	January 1, 2017, through March 31, 2018
Interviews	January 1, 2017, through March 31, 2018
MMBPIS	April 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017
Grievance and appeal records	October 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017



3. Summary of Results

Standard VI—Customer Service

Performance Strengths

While **Mid-State Health Network** maintained a customer service line and customer service specialist, most customer service functions were delegated to the Community Mental Health Services Programs (CMHSPs) and monitored during managed care site reviews. The CMHSPs each retained their own customer handbook, which mainly consisted of standardized language used throughout the region but also allowed for personalized text specific to each CMHSP. **Mid-State Health Network** had a regional Customer Service Committee to oversee customer service functions and requirements. Meeting minutes were thorough and documented collaborative discussions on topics such as educational materials, changes to federal and State requirements, updates to the customer handbook, policy, and reporting requirements.

Required Actions

Mid-State Health Network's customer handbook did not include each enrollee's right to use any hospital or other setting for emergency care, or information on how to report suspected fraud and abuse. Additionally, an error in the handbook identified that a standard appeal decision would be made within 60 calendar days instead of 30 calendar days. Lastly, several CMHSPs posted outdated versions of the customer handbook on their websites with one dating back to 2014. **Mid-State Health Network** must ensure its customer handbook contains all content required by its contract with MDHHS and 42 CFR 438.10(g).

Several deficiencies related to the provider directory requirements were identified. **Mid-State Health Network**'s behavioral health and substance use disorder (SUD) provider directories did not contain all required content including, but not limited to, cultural and linguistic capabilities (if they have completed cultural competency training) and any non-English languages they speak (including American Sign Language), whether the provider's office/facility has accommodations for people with physical disabilities, and whether they are accepting new patients. Additionally, there was a lack of oversight of CMHSP compliance with provider directory requirements. Several of the CMHSPs had outdated local provider directories posted on their websites, with two dating back to 2015 and 2016. Details of the findings can be found in *Appendix A—Review of the Standards*. Prior to the on-site review, **Mid-State Health Network** began initiating actions to address deficiencies related to the provider directory. New draft policies and procedures have been developed as well as a new proposed format for the regional online directory with searchable fields.



Recommendations

Mid-State Health Network should develop a formal process of monitoring the content posted on CMHSP websites. Specifically, ensuring the customer handbook, provider directory, and other enrollee informational materials are current and meet all federal and contractual requirements.

Standard VII—Grievance Process

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network demonstrated appropriate processes for ensuring the individuals making grievance decisions were not involved in any previous level of review or decision making and staff members had the appropriate clinical expertise to review and resolve grievances when applicable. Mid-State Health Network also consistently acknowledged and resolved grievances in a timely manner. Additionally, the Notice of Grievance Resolution letters included the appropriate content, including the results of the grievance process and the date the grievance process was concluded. During the interview session, Mid-State Health Network staff members shared that Mid-State Health Network and representatives from each CMHSP attend a regular Customer Services Committee and any trends identified through a review of grievance data is discussed as a committee. Mid-State Health Network also shared its plan to implement standardized grievance templates for use by the Mid-State Health Network and each CMHSP.

Required Actions

Mid-State Health Network did not demonstrate a clear process for who is responsible for receiving grievances and facilitating the resolution of grievances filed by enrollees accessing SUD services. During the interview session, Mid-State Health Network provided conflicting information about which entity (PIHP or SUD provider) is handling the SUD-related grievances. Additionally, the SUD is listed on the delegate reporting document; however, no grievances were submitted during the time period under review. Mid-State Health Network must identify the PIHP organizational unit approved and administratively responsible for accepting and facilitating the resolution of SUD-related grievances filed by enrollees or their authorized representatives.

The Customer/Consumer Service policy and procedure manual indicated **Mid-State Health Network**'s standards of customer service ensure materials are written at the fourth-grade reading level when possible. This policy also indicated enrollees are notified that oral interpretation is available for any language and written information is available in prevalent languages. Additionally, for enrollees with visual impairment, oral interpretation services are provided free of charge. While **Mid-State Health Network**'s policy complies with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.10, during the case file review, HSAG noted letters were not consistently written at a fourth-grade reading level and, at times, contained typographical and grammatical errors. In one instance, a Notice of Grievance Resolution letter also contained language pertaining to an appeal, which may be confusing to an enrollee. **Mid-State Health**



Network must ensure each Notice of Grievance Resolution letter complies with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.10 and meets the needs of those individuals with limited English proficiency and limited reading proficiency.

Recommendations

Mid-State Health Network should conduct training with CMHSPs and SUD providers to ensure all expressions of dissatisfaction are being documented, tracked, and trended as grievances.

Mid-State Health Network should revise its grievance and appeal brochure to be less confusing to enrollees.

Mid-State Health Network should ensure all grievance-related documents reference the 90-day grievance resolution timeliness requirement instead of the 60-day requirement.

Mid-State Health Network should also consider implementing a formal quality review process of enrollee letters to ensure the letters comply with federal grievance requirements, are easy to understand, and are free of grammatical and spelling errors.

Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network maintained policies and procedures that described the processes for conducting the monitoring and oversight activities of its CMHSPs and SUD provider network. During the interview session, Mid-State Health Network staff members explained that a full evaluation is conducted once every two years, and during the interim year, a review of the previous year's non-compliant findings is completed along with a review of any new requirements. A review of selected annual audit findings confirmed Mid-State Health Network required and followed up on corrective actions for deficient findings.

Exhibit A of the subcontract between **Mid-State Health Network** and CMHSPs clearly outlined managed care functions and whether they were retained by **Mid-State Health Network** or delegated to the local CMHSPs. During the previous full compliance review, it was noted that the subcontracts did not include language regarding the revocation of delegation or use of other sanction to address inadequate performance of a delegated function on the part of the subcontractor. A review of the subcontracts verified they contained detailed provisions related to remedies and sanctions for contract non-compliance including revocation of delegated functions.



Mid-State Health Network's contract with its CMHSPs and SUD providers did not include the requirement that the right to audit exists through 10 years from the final date of the contract period or from the date of completion of any audit, whichever is later. During the interview session, Mid-State Health Network staff members verbalized that contract language is being revised to include this requirement. Additionally, the contract indicated that access to books, documents, and records would be available for four years following the termination of the agreement, which conflicted with the 10-year requirement.

Recommendations

During the interview session, **Mid-State Health Network** staff members discussed the intent to delegate grievance and appeal functions to its SUD providers, which are currently being managed by **Mid-State Health Network**'s customer service specialist. HSAG recommends that **Mid-State Health Network** reconsider this and retain all grievance and appeals functions for SUD providers. **Mid-State Health Network** staff members explained that its SUD provider network primarily consists of small "mom and pop" providers. It is unclear if these providers would be able to meet all requirements related to processing grievances and appeals, such as ensuring individuals who make decisions are not involved in any previous level of review or decision making, nor a subordinate of any such individual.

While the contract between **Mid-State Health Network** and the CMHSPs included provisions to allow MDHHS, the State of Michigan, or designated representatives to review, copy, and audit all contract/financial records, license, accreditation, certification, program reports, and clinical records to the full extent permitted by applicable federal and State law, **Mid-State Health Network** should add specific language related to fraud.

Standard X—Provider Network

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network delegated many provider network management functions to the CHMSPs that were monitoring during the managed care site review. The Provider Network Management policy described the various mechanisms Mid-State Health Network used to monitor and oversee its provider network, which included a review of access and availability data, a needs assessment, a review of utilization data, and solicitation of stakeholder input. These activities were summarized in the annual Assessment of Network Adequacy report, which identified priority areas and recommendations.

Mid-State Health Network used a checklist that outlined the action steps to be taken when a decision has been made to terminate a provider contract. These steps included, but are not limited to, notification to internal departments as well as the State of Michigan, and coordinating with the utilization management department for a consumer referral plan.



No corrective actions are required for this standard as **Mid-State Health Network** was found to be in compliance with all the requirements.

Recommendations

While the Credentialing: Suspension and Revocation policy required immediate verbal notification followed by written notification to the Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities Administration in MDHHS when a decision has been made to terminate a provider contract, **Mid-State Health**Network should add the notification time frame requirement to its checklist to ensure MDHHS is notified within seven days of any changes to the composition of the provider network that negatively affects access to care provided as required by contract.

Standard XII—Access and Availability

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network collected data on the performance indicators from each CMHSP in the region, compiled the data, and sent quarterly reports to MDHHS according to State specifications. Contract provisions and PIHP policies required CMHSPs to meet the performance indicator standards. Performance was monitored monthly by **Mid-State Health Network**'s Quality Improvement council, which required corrective actions as necessary.

MDHHS provided HSAG with MMBPIS data for the period from April 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. **Mid-State Health Network**'s performance was evaluated and scored based on aggregated data across three reporting quarters.

Based on the aggregated data over three quarters, **Mid-State Health Network** demonstrated strong performance. **Mid-State Health Network**'s aggregated rates were at or above the contractually required minimum performance standard of 95 percent for 14 of the 15 measures reviewed. These included: children and adults receiving timely preadmission screenings for psychiatric inpatient care; provision of a face-to-face assessment within 14 days of a nonemergent request for service for all populations (mentally ill children and adults, developmentally disabled children and adults, and beneficiaries with a SUD); providing developmentally disabled adults and beneficiaries with a SUD needed, ongoing service within 14 days of a nonemergent assessment; and providing follow-up care within seven days of a discharge from a psychiatric inpatient or detox unit for children and adults.



Of the 15 MMBPIS measures reviewed, one was below the required 95 percent threshold. **Mid-State Health Network** must ensure that developmentally disabled children start needed, ongoing services with a professional within 14 days of a nonemergent assessment.

Recommendations

No additional recommendations for this standard were identified.

Standard XIV—Appeals

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network delegated the responsibility for the appeals process to its network CMHSPs. The delegation grids in Mid-State Health Network's contract with the CMHSPs specified the subcontractors' responsibilities related to the appeals process. Mid-State Health Network's Medicaid Beneficiary Appeals/Grievances policy required subcontractors to maintain a local appeal procedure that complied with the MDHHS Grievance and Appeal Technical Requirement and thereby addressed the enrollee's right to a State Fair Hearing, the method to obtain a hearing, the right to file appeals, and the requirements and time frames for filing appeals. Mid-State Health Network provided template language for the appeal acknowledgement and resolution letters. Grievance logs and records were maintained by the subcontractors. Mid-State Health Network conducted annual on-site reviews to assess subcontractors' performance on the delegated appeals process functions, including a review of a sample of appeals records.

Required Actions

During the case file review, **Mid-State Health Network** did not demonstrate that oral requests for appeal were followed by a written, signed appeal. **Mid-State Health Network** must obtain a written signed request for appeal following the acceptance of a non-expedited oral request for an appeal.

Mid-State Health Network did not consistently resolve appeals within 30 calendar days as indicated during the case file review. **Mid-State Health Network** must resolve appeals within 30 calendar days.

The appeal resolution letters reviewed during the case file review were written at a level higher than the required fourth-grade reading level. Further, many of the letters were excessively long and were written in the form of clinical progress notes rather than a formal notice of the appeal decision. **Mid-State**Health Network's resolution letters must also be written in a way that is easily understood by those with limited English proficiency or limited reading proficiency.



The appeal resolution notice content, as demonstrated during the case file review, did not consistently include the date of the appeal resolution. **Mid-State Health Network** must ensure the date of the appeal resolution is documented in the system as well as included in the appeal resolution letter.

Recommendations

No additional recommendations for this standard were identified.

Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network's use of the Disclosure of Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement form is an effective method for capturing required disclosure information from providers during the credentialing and contracting processes. **Mid-State Health Network** also demonstrated effective monitoring processes to ensure no staff member or provider was excluded from participating in Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal healthcare programs.

Required Actions

No corrective actions are required for this standard as **Mid-State Health Network** was found to be in compliance with all the requirements.

Recommendations

No additional recommendations for this standard were identified.

Standard XVII—Management Information Systems

Performance Strengths

Mid-State Health Network provided assurances that its health information systems, as well as its CMHSPs' health information systems, had the capacity to collect, analyze, integrate, and report data to achieve its obligations under the contract with MDHHS. Mid-State Health Network used its Information Technology Council as a forum for sharing information related to encounters and other systems with its CMHSPs. Robust reports, including detailed utilization data, were also being shared with the utilization management and quality improvement teams to assist them in process improvement efforts.



No corrective actions are required for this standard as **Mid-State Health Network** was found to be in compliance with all the requirements.

Recommendations

No additional recommendations for this standard were identified.



4. Performance Improvement Process

Mid-State Health Network is required to submit to MDHHS a corrective action plan for all elements scored as *Not Met*. The corrective action plan must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the final report. For each element that requires correction, the plan should identify the interventions intended to assist in achieving compliance with the requirement(s), the individual(s) responsible, and the timeline. HSAG has prepared a customized template to facilitate **Mid-State Health Network**'s submission and MDHHS' review of corrective actions. The template includes each requirement for which HSAG assigned a performance score of *Not Met* and, for each such requirement, HSAG's findings and required actions to bring the organization's performance into full compliance.



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
1. Designated Unit			
MDHHS Contract Part IIA-6.3 Attachment P6.3.1			
a. The PIHP has a designated unit called "Customer Services", with a minimum of one full-time equivalent (FTE) performing the customer services function, within the customer services unit or elsewhere within the PIHP.	Customer Service and Rights Specialist Job Description FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
Attachment P6.3.1(1-2)	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy		
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 11-25		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
Mid-State Health Network (MSHN) employs a full-time equivalent (FTE) Customer Service & Rights Specialist to perform customer service functions. The position responsibilities are to ensure regional compliance with federal and state requirements regarding customer service, enrollee rights and protections, grievance and appeal standards and performance indictor standards. The individual manages customer inquiries, facilitating communication and action in response to inquiries, and networks with the CMHSP and SUDSP customer service to bring resolution to the customer inquiry. MSHN also delegates customer service as defined in the MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Customer Service. MSHN's Customer Service Policy reference's the requirements for the provider network. The regional handbook includes the regional and local customer service contact information for MSHN and each CMHSP within the region.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			



Sta	Standard VI—Customer Service				
Re	quir	ement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
2.	Ph	one Access			
		Attachment P6.3.1			
	a.	The PIHP has a designated toll-free customer services telephone line and access to alternative telephonic communication methods (e.g., Relays, a TTY number, etc.).	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 8, 11-25	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
		Attachment P6.3.1(3)	Customer Service Line Website Screenshot		
			Customer Service – Customer Service Handbook Policy		
			MSHN CMHSP Delegated Functions Audit: Standards #1 (Customer Services) and #3 (24/7/365 Access)		
	b.	The customer services numbers are displayed in agency brochures and public information material.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 12-25	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
		Attachment P6.3.1(3)	Customer Service Line Website Screenshot	☐ Not Applicable	
			Customer Service – Customer Service Handbook Policy		
			MSHN CMHSP Delegated Functions Audit: Standards #1 (Customer Services) and #3 (24/7/365 Access)		
	c.	The PIHP ensures that the customer services telephone line is answered by a live voice during business hours. Telephone	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 27	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
		menus are not acceptable.	Customer Service – Customer Service Handbook Policy	☐ Not Applicable	
		Attachment P6.3.1(4)			



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
	MSHN CMHSP Delegated Functions Audit: Standards #1 (Customer Services) and #3 (24/7/365 Access)		
d. A variety of alternatives may be employed to triage high volumes of calls as long as there is response to each call within one business day.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 27 Customer Service – Customer Service Handbook Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
Attachment P6.3.1(4)	MSHN CMHSP Delegated Functions Audit: Standards #1 (Customer Services) and #3 (24/7/365 Access)		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement	
MSHN maintains a dedicated Customer Service Line answered live by the Customer Service & Rights Specialist to receive customer service inquires. When the Customer Service & Rights Specialist is away a MSHN administrative professional answers calls and routes customer service inquires to the Director of Compliance, Customer Service & Quality. The regional handbook includes the regional and local customer service contact information for MSHN and each CMHSP within the region. MSHN delegates Customer Service functions to the Provider Network and provides monitoring and oversign through the delegated managed care site review.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
3. Hours of Operation Attachment P6.3.1			
a. The hours of customer service unit operations and the process for accessing information from customer services outside those hours shall be publicized.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 12-25 Customer Service Line Website Screenshot	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
Attachment P6.3.1(5)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services		



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
b. The customer services unit or function will operate minimally eight hours daily, Monday through Friday, except for	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 12-25	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
holidays. Attachment P6.3.1(5)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With tl	ne Requirement	
MSHN developed, and reviews annually through the Customer Services information for MSHN and each CMHSP within the region. MSHN dele Delegation Grid.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
4. Customer Handbook			
42 CFR 438.10(g) Attachment P6.3.1			
a. The customer handbook includes:			
i. The state-required topics (See P.6.3.1.1.A) including Templates #1-#12, other required contract topics, and all	Mid-State CS Manual Approval Letter 01.26.2018	☐ Met ⊠ Not Met	
CFR requirements specified in 438.10(g) – refer to the Customer Handbook Checklist.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 6-7, 87	☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR438.10(g)(2) Attachment P6.3.1(6)	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy		
ii. The Medicaid coverage name and the State's description of each services.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 56-65	Met □ Not Met	
Attachment P6.3.1(7)		☐ Not Applicable	



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
iii. The date of the publication and revision(s). Attachment P6.3.1(8)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, back cover	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
iv. Information about how to contact the Medicaid Health Plans or Medicaid fee-for-service programs in the PIHP service area, including plan or program name, locations, and telephone numbers. Attachment P6.3.1(10)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 68-69 CS_Customer_Handbook Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
b. The PIHP or delegate entity must provide each customer a customer handbook within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the beneficiary's enrollment. This may be provided by: 42 CFR 438.10(g) Attachment P6.3.1			
i. Mailing a printed copy to the customer's mailing address. 42 CFR 438.10(g)(3)(i) Attachment P6.3.1(9)(a)	CS_Customer_Consumer_Service Policy CS_Customer_Handbook Policy Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 & 30	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
 ii. Emailed after obtaining the customer's agreement to receive information by email. 42 CFR 438.10(g)(3)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1(9)(b) 	CS_Customer_Consumer_Service Policy CS_Customer_Handbook Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	



Standard V	Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 & 30		
iii.	If the PIHP posts the information on the website and advises the customer in paper or electronic form that the information is available on the internet provided that persons with disabilities who cannot access the information online are provided auxiliary aids and services upon request at no cost.	CS_Customer_Consumer_Service Policy CS_Customer_Handbook Policy Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook pg. 10	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
	42 CFR 438.10(g)(3)(iii) Attachment P6.3.1(9)(c)			

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

The MSHN Customer Handbook contains the elements required as part of the contract attachment P.6.3.1. The handbook was submitted for review this year to MDHHS, who provided an approval letter stating the handbook was consistent with the Customer Services Standards (contract attachment P.6.3.1) and was approved for use with the beneficiaries. The Customer Handbook Policy and the Customer Service Policy also identify the standards that must be followed by the PIHP and the Provider Network regarding customer services—and the consumer handbook. It is also a regional practice to provide the consumer handbook at intake into service, and annually thereafter, typically as part of the Person Centered Planning Process.

HSAG Findings

The PIHP's customer handbook did not include the enrollee's right to use any hospital or other setting for emergency care or information on how to report suspected fraud or abuse. Additionally, an error in the handbook indicated a standard appeal decision would be made within 60 calendar days instead of 30 calendar days. Lastly, several CMHSPs posted outdated versions of the customer handbook on their websites. The PIHP should consider developing a formal process of monitoring the customer handbook and other informational materials that are posted online by the CMHSPs.

Required Actions: The PIHP must include in the customer handbook the enrollee's right to use any hospital or other setting for emergency care and information on how to report suspected fraud or abuse. The PIHP must also correct the timeframe for standard appeal decisions.



Standard VI—Customer Service				
Re	quir	rement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
5.	Pr	ovider Listing		
		42 CFR 438.10(h) Attachment P6.3.1		
	a.	The PIHP or delegate unit shall maintain a current listing of all providers, practitioners and organizations with whom the PIHP has contracts – refer to the Provider Directory Checklist. 42 CFR 438.10(h)(1)(i-viii) Attachment P6.3.1(11)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 MSHN Website, via link: http://midstatehealthnetwork.org/provider-network/ MSHN website screenshot: SUD Providers MSHN website screenshot: Behavioral Health Providers CS_Customer_Handbook Policy FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	b.	The PIHP must make this available in paper form upon request and electronic form such as the PIHP, CMHSP, or network provider's website as applicable. 42 CFR 438.10(h)(1) Attachment P6.3.1(11)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 CS_Customer_Handbook Policy FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	c.	Beneficiaries shall be given this list annually unless the beneficiary has expressly informed the PIHP that accessing the listing through an available website or customer services line is acceptable. Attachment P6.3.1(11)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 30 CS_Customer_Handbook Policy FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
d.	The provider directory must be made available in paper form upon request and electronic form. It must also be made available on the PIHP's website in a machine readable file and format. 42 CFR 438.10(h)(1,4)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 CS_Customer_Handbook Policy	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	Attachment P6.3.1(12)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	
e.	The paper provider directory must be updated at least monthly and electronic provider directories must be updated no later	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10	☐ Met ☑ Not Met
	than 30 calendar days after the PIHP receives updated provider information.	MSHNProviderChoiceListing v.4.24.18	☐ Not Applicable
	42 CFR 438.10(h)(3) Attachment P6.3.1(13)	CS_Customer_Handbook Policy	
		CS_Customer_Consumer_Service Policy	
		FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	
		Provider Directory Policy	
f.	If the PIHP provides information electronically, it must inform the customer that the information is available in paper form without charge and upon request and provides it upon request within <u>5 business days</u> .	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10 FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	Attachment P6.3.1(14)		



Standard VI—Customer Service		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

The provider listing is given to the consumer, at least annually, as part of the consumer handbook. The provider listing is also updated monthly for any needed revisions and an electronic copy is available on MSHN's website and each CMHSP's website. The Provider Directory Policy also identifies that the directory must be updated at least monthly and electronic directories must be updated no later than 30 calendar days after the PIHP receives updated provider information. A hard copy of the handbook, as well as any other customers information, will be made available at no cost to the beneficiary upon request. MSHN delegates Customer Service functions to the Provider Network as evidenced in the Delegation Grid.

HSAG Findings

The PIHP's behavioral health provider directory did not include the following:

- Telephone number(s).
- Website URL (if appropriate).
- Cultural and linguistic capabilities (if they have completed cultural competency training) and any non-English languages they speak (including American Sign Language).
- Whether the provider's office/facility has accommodations for people with physical disabilities.
- Whether they are accepting new patients.

The PIHP's SUD provider directory did not include the following:

- Cultural and linguistic capabilities (if they have completed cultural competency training) and any non-English languages they speak (including American Sign Language). The paper version of the SUD provider directory included languages spoken; however, the online version did not.
- Whether the provider's office/facility has accommodations for people with physical disabilities.
- Whether they are accepting new patients.

Neither directory included independent PCP facilitators. During the interview session, PIHP staff members explained that it typically utilized single case agreements for independent facilitators due to high turnover. In response to the finding that the directories do not specify whether the provider is accepting new patients, PIHP staff members verbalized that its providers are required by the State to accept all enrollees and are prohibited from turning them away. Additionally, documentation did not support there was a process to ensure the CMHSP local provider directories included all required content, were available in a machine-readable format, and were updated monthly or within 30 calendar days after receiving updated provider information. While the local provider directories were posted on the CMHSP websites, several were outdated versions. Prior to the onsite review, the PIHP had developed draft policies



Standard VI—Customer Service				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
and procedures to address several of these deficiencies. During the interview session, the PIHP further explained they are in the process of developing a new online regional provider directory with the intent to no longer have local provider directories maintained by the CMHSPs. The CMHSPs would be required to upload a current copy of their directory into the PIHPs information system monthly. The proposed data fields of the new directory included the required demographic information.				
that any independent PCP facilitators with whom the PIHP or CMHSPs the directory reflects providers who are not accepting new enrollees, for paper provider directory is updated at least monthly and electronic provider directory is updated.	Required Actions: The PIHP must ensure the provider directory includes all content required by contract and 42 CFR 438.10(h). The PIHP must ensure that any independent PCP facilitators with whom the PIHP or CMHSPs contract with are included in the directory. The PIHP must have a process to ensure the directory reflects providers who are not accepting new enrollees, for example when the provider is at capacity. Additionally, the PIHP must ensure the paper provider directory is updated at least monthly and electronic provider directories must be updated no later than 30 calendar days after the PIHP receives updated provider information. Lastly, if the CMHSPs retain their own local provider directory, the PIHP must implement mechanisms to ensure they are compliant with all State and federal requirements.			
6. Access to Information				
The customer services unit has access to information about the PIHP, including:				
Attachment P6.3.1				
a. CMHSP affiliate annual report. Attachment P6.3.1(15)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 2 & 10 MSHN Website, via link:	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable		
	http://midstatehealthnetwork.org/provider-network/			
	CMHSP Provider Network Website Screenshot			
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan annual report webpage screenshot			



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
b. Current organizational chart.	Attachment P6.3.1(15)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 2	⊠ Met □ Not Met
	Attachment 1 0.5.1(15)	MSHN Website, via link: http://midstatehealthnetwork.org/provider-network/	☐ Not Applicable
		MSHN Network Org Chart 2018	
		MSHN Org Chart 2018	
c. CMHSP board member list.		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 2	☑ Met☐ Not Met
	Attachment P6.3.1(15)	MSHN Website, via link: http://midstatehealthnetwork.org/provider-network/	☐ Not Applicable
		http://www.midstatehealthnetwork.org/board/	
		Example: CMHCM Board Member List Webpage Screenshot	
		Example: MSHN Board Member List Webpage Screenshot	
d. Meeting schedule, and minutes.		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 2	
	Attachment P6.3.1(15)	MSHN Website, via link: http://midstatehealthnetwork.org/provider-network/	☐ Not Applicable
		http://www.midstatehealthnetwork.org/board/	



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
	Example: MSHN board meeting schedule webpage screenshot		
	Example: MSHN board meeting minutes webpage screenshot		
e. Customer services provides this information in a timely manner to individuals upon their requests. Attachment P6.3.1(15)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
CMHSP Annual Reports, board member listings, schedules and meeting minutes are available upon request and on the CMHSP websites. MSHN also has their board member list, schedule of meetings and meeting minutes located on their website. The MSHN consumer handbook also contains information about the PIHP and each CMHSP. Please refer to the handbook and the MSHN Website as an example of evidence, as well as CMHSP websites, provider listings, MSHN Board and Council meeting agenda and minutes.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
7. Assistance with Grievances and Appeals MDHHS Contract Part IIA-6.3 Attachment P6.3.1			
a. Upon request, the customer services unit assists beneficiaries with the grievance, appeals, and local dispute resolution processes and coordinates, as appropriate, with the Fair Hearing Officer and the local Office of Recipient Rights.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33-36 CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
Attachment P6.3.1(16)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement	
The MSHN consumer handbook includes both regional and local contact of compliance with this standard. In addition the Customer Services Me complaints and notification of the right to file appeals and grievances and Network as evidenced in the Delegation Grid.	edicaid Enrollee Appeal and Grievances Policy outlines the proce	ess to resolve	
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			
8. Training Customer services staff receives training to welcome people to the public mental health system and to possess current working knowledge, or know where in the organization detailed information can be obtained, in at least the following areas: Attachment P6.3.1			
Working Knowledge About:			
a. The populations served (serious mental illness, serious emotional disturbance, developmental disability, and substance use disorder) and eligibility criteria for various benefit plans (e.g., Medicaid, Healthy Michigan Plan, MIChild). Attachment P6.3.1(17)(a)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services		



Standard VI—Customer Service		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 56-70	
b. Service array (including substance abuse treatment services), medical necessity requirements, and eligibility for and referral to specialty services.	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.)	☑ Met☐ Not Met
Attachment P6.3.1(17)(b)	FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	☐ Not Applicable
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 56-70	



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
c. Grievance and appeals, fair hearings, local dispute resolution processes, and recipient rights. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(g)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services Customer Service - Customer Service Policy MSHN Training Grid 2018 SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-36, 49-51	⊠ Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable	
d. Information and referral about Medicaid-covered services within the PIHP as well as outside to Medicaid health plans, fee-for-service practitioners, and the Department of Human Services. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(i)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services Customer Service - Customer Service Policy		



Standard VI—Customer Service		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 56-70	
Knowledge Where to Obtain Information About:		
e. Person-centered planning. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(c)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 38-39	



Standard VI	Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requiremen	nt		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
f. Self	F-determination.	Attachment P6.3.1(17)(d)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services Customer Service - Customer Service Policy MSHN Training Grid 2018 SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 40	
g. Rec	overy and resiliency.	Attachment P6.3.1(17)(e)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard VI—Customer Service			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy		
	MSHN Training Grid 2018		
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool		
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool		
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 43		
h. Peer specialists. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(f)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
	FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	□ Not Applicable	
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services		
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy		
	MSHN Training Grid 2018		
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool		
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool		
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 60		



Standard VI—Customer Service		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
i. Limited English proficiency and cultural competency. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(h)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services Customer Service - Customer Service Policy MSHN Training Grid 2018 SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
j. The organization of the public mental health system. Attachment P6.3.1(17)(j)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 8, 82 FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Agreement: pgs. 9 – 10 (X. C - D.) FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	



Standard VI—Customer Service		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 10	
 k. Balanced Budget Act relative to the customer services functions and beneficiary rights and protections. 	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met
Attachment P6.3.1(17)(k)	FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	☐ Not Applicable
	Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
	MSHN Training Grid 2018	
	SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
	CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	



Stan	dard VI—Customer Service		
Requ	irement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
1	. Community resources (e.g., advocacy organizations, housing options, schools, public health agencies). Attachment P6.3.1(17)(l)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
		Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
		MSHN Training Grid 2018	
		SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
		CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 88	
r	 Public Health Code (for substance abuse treatment recipients if not delegated to the PIHP). 	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	Attachment P6.3.1(17)(m)	FY18 SUD Provider Contract: Pg. 14 (Section II.C.6)	□ Not Applicable
		Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	
		MSHN Training Grid 2018	
		SUD – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
		CMHSP – Staff Training Site Review Tool	
		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 51	



Standard VI—Customer Service

Requirement Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP Score

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

MSHN delegates the Customer Service training requirements to the Provider Network and provides monitoring and oversight as part of the Delegated Managed Care Site Reviews. Training requirements are also included within the provider network contracts. The MSHN Consumer Handbook provides additional information outlined within this standard.

HSAG Findings

HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.

Results—Standard VI						
Met = 34 X 1.0					=	34
Not Met	=	5	Х	.00	=	0
Not Applicable = 0						
Total Applicable = 39 Total Score				=	34	
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable			=	87%		



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score 1. General Requirement 42 CFR 438.402 MDHHS Contract Part II A-6.3.1 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. The PIHP has a grievance system in place for Enrollee's that ⊠ Met MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: complies with Subpart F of Part 438. Section XXI.I. (Pg. 28) □ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable 42 CFR 438.402(a) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid -MDHHS Contract Part II A-6.3.1 Attachment P6.3.1.1(III) Customer Services & Utilization Management Sections (Pgs. 1-3 & 17) MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19 CS Customer Consumer Service Policy CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure CS Medicaid Enrollee Appeals Grievances Policy Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 MSHN CMHSP Delegated Functions Site Review Tool MSHN SUD Delegated Functions Site Review Tool



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement
MSHN has an established process in place that is compliant with the MI provide information to enrollees on their rights for appeals, grievances a through the referenced policies/procedures and includes the requirement MSHN. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network arreviews.	nd Medicaid Fair Hearings. MSHN provides information to the for providers to comply with the State standards as part of their	provider network contract with
HSAG Findings		
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with this element.		
2. Information to Subcontractors and Providers The PIHP provides information about the grievance system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. The information includes: 42 CFR 438.414 42 CFR 438.10 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.0(4)		
a. The right to file grievances. 42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(A)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Section XXI.I. (Pg. 28)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services & Utilization Management Sections (Pgs. 1-3 & 17)	
	MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19	
b. The requirement and timeframes for filing a grievance. 42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(B)	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Section XXI.I. (Pg. 28) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services & Utilization Management Sections (Pgs. 1-3 & 17) MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19 Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure	
c. The availability of assistance in the filing process. 42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(C)	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Section XXI.I. (Pg. 28) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services & Utilization Management Sections (Pgs. 1-3 & 17)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	
	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	
	CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Ho	ow the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
MSHN provides information to the provider network through the refer the State standards as part of their contract with MSHN. The identifie timeframes for doing so and how to request assistance. MSHN delega as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.	d evidence outlines the rights for filing appeals and grievances as	well as the
HSAG Findings		
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with these elements.		
3. General 42 CFR 438.40 Attachment P6.3.1.		
 a. Enrollees must file Grievances with the PIHP organizational unit approved and administratively responsible for facilitating resolution of Grievances. 	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☐ Met ⊠ Not Met
resolution of Grievances.	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(B)(1	CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure	
	MSHN Form Templates:	



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt Grievance Template	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Disposition Grievance Template	
b. Grievances may be filed at any time by the Enrollee, guardian, or parent of a minor child or his/her legal representative.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☑ Met☐ Not Met
42 CFR 438.402(c)(2)(i) Attachment P6.3.1(VII)(B)(2)	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable
	CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure	
	Grievance and Appeal Brochure	
c. The enrollee may file a grievance either orally or in writing.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	⊠ Met
42 CFR 438.402(c)(3)(i)	Grievance and Appeal Brochure	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable
	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	
d. Enrollee's access to the State Fair Hearing process respecting Grievances is only available when the PIHP fails to resolve	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☑ Met☐ Not Met
the grievance and provide resolution within 90 calendar days of the date of the request.	CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.400(b)(5) 42 CFR 438.408(b)(1)	CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure	
Attachment P6.3.1(VII)(B)(3)	Grievance and Appeal Brochure	
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Disposition Grievance	



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score			
e. The Grievance System must provide Enrollees:					
 The right to concurrently file an Appeal of an Adverse Benefit Determination and a Grievance regarding other service complaints. 	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable			
 ii. With the written consent from the Enrollee, the right to have a provider or other authorized representative, acting on the Enrollee's behalf, file Grievance to the PIHP. 42 CFR 438.402(c)(1)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(III) 	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt Grievance	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable			
iii. The provider may file a grievance or request a state fair hearing on behalf of the Enrollee since the State permits the provider to act as the Enrollee's authorized representative in doing so. 42 CFR 438.402(c)(1)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(III)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt Grievance	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable			
iv. Punitive action may not be taken by the PIHP against a provider who acts on the Enrollee's behalf with the Enrollee's written consent to do so. Attachment P6.3.1.1(III)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 51	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement					

MSHN utilizes the Consumer Handbook to provide information regarding consumer rights involving grievances. MSHN has also developed standardized Notices for Receipt and Disposition of grievances that are used region wide. MSHN's policy/procedure for appeals and grievances is in compliance with the MDHHS contract requirements. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process				
Requirement Evidence	s Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
HSAG Findings				
The PIHP did not demonstrate a clear process for who is responsible for receiving grievances and facilitating the resolution of grievances filed by enrollees accessing SUD services. During the interview session, the PIHP provided conflicting information about which entity (PIHP or SUD provider) is handling the SUD-related grievances. Additionally, the SUD is listed on the delegate reporting document; however, no grievances were submitted during the time period under review.				
Required Action: The PIHP must identify the PIHP organizational unit approved a of SUD-related grievances filed by enrollees or their authorized representatives.	nd administratively responsible for accepting and fac	ilitating resolution		
4. The PIHP Responsibility When Enrollee Files a Grievance				
42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1				
and to take other procedural steps. This includes but is not limited to auxiliary aids and services upon request, such as	CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 8 -9 &	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable		
adequate 11 Y/11D and interpreter capability • M	rm Templates: SHN FY18 Notice of Receipt Grievance			
42 CFR 438.406(a) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(1)				
·	CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	☑ Met☐ Not Met		
Attachment Po.3.1.1(VII)(C)(2)	rm Templates: SHN FY18 Notice of Receipt Grievance	☐ Not Applicable		



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score c. Maintain a record of grievances for review by the State as part FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - \bowtie Met of its quality strategy. **Customer Services** ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(3) Section XXI.I. (Pg. 28) MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19 Customer Service - Customer Service Policy CS Medicaid Enrollee Appeals Grievances Policy CS- Reporting Medicaid Appeals Grievances RR Procedure **MSHN submitted a copy of the grievance and appeal log that contained the information recorded by each provider that was in compliance with state standards. d. Submit the written grievance to appropriate staff including a FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - \bowtie Met PIHP administrator with the authority to require corrective **Customer Services** ☐ Not Met action. ☐ Not Applicable MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(4) Section XXI.I (Pg. 28) MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19 Customer Service - Customer Service Policy MSHN FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 14)



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
e. Coordinates as appropriate with Fair Hearing Officers and the local Office of Recipient Rights. Attachment P6.3.1(13)	Utilization Management Policy 2017-2018 Grievance Review Template MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Notice of Disposition Grievance FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid - Customer Services MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement: Section XXI.I (Pg. 28) MSHN FY 2018 SUD Provider Contract: Pgs. 13, 14 & 19 Customer Service - Customer Service Policy MSHN Delegated Managed Care Review: Section 2.0 (Enrollee Rights and Protections)- 2.2			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement				
MSHN utilizes standardized templates for the receipt and disposition of a grievance. MSHN and its provider network maintain logs of grievances in accordance with the requirements as evidenced by the grievance log submitted to HSAG. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.				
HSAG Findings				
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with these elements.				



St	andard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Re	equirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
5.	Individuals Making Decisions Ensure that the individual(s) who make the decisions on the Grievance:		
	42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1		
	a. Were not involved in any previous level review or decision-making, nor a subordinate of any such individual. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(i) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(5)(a)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 2017-2018 Grievance Review Template	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	b. When the Grievance involves either (i) clinical issues, or (ii) denial of expedited resolution of an Appeal, are individual(s) who have appropriate clinical expertise, as determined by the State, in treating the Enrollee's condition or disease. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(ii)(B-C) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(5)(b)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – VII. Provider Network (Pg. 13) MSHN FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 13 -14) Utilization Management Policy 2017-2018 Grievance Review Template	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	c. Take into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the Enrollee or their representative without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial Adverse Benefit Determination.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(iii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(C)(5)(c)		



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provid As part of the site review. MSHN reviews a sample of grievances to en decision makers to have the appropriate level of expertise.		
HSAG Findings		
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with these elements.		
6. Timing of Grievance Resolution 42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. Provide the Enrollee a written notice of resolution not to exceed 90 calendar days from the day the PIHP received the Grievance. 42 CFR 438.408(b)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(1)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 2017-2018 Grievance Review Template	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
MSHN utilizes a standardized template for notice of grievance resolution Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated standards are met.		
HSAG Findings		
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with this element.		



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
7. Format of Notice of Grievance Resolution		
42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1		
a. Enrollee notice of Grievance resolution must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438.10 (i.e., "in a manner and format that may be easily understood and is readily accessible by such enrollees and potential enrollees," meets the needs of those with limited English proficiency and or limited reading proficiency).	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33 Customer Service - Customer Service Policy	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.10 42 CFR 438.408(d)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(2)(a)		

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

MSHN utilizes a standard template for the notice of grievance resolution. The notice follows the template requirement identified in contract attachment P6.3.1.

HSAG Findings

The Customer/Consumer Service policy and procedure manual indicated the PIHP's standards of customer service ensure materials are written at the fourth-grade reading level when possible. This policy also indicates enrollees are notified that oral interpretation is available for any language and written information is available in prevalent languages. Additionally, for enrollees with visual impairment, oral interpretation services are provided free of charge. While the PIHP's policy complies with the requirements of this element, during the case file review, HSAG noted letters were not consistently written at a fourth-grade reading level and, at times, contained typographical and grammatical errors. In one instance, a Notice of Grievance Resolution letter also contained language pertaining to an appeal, which may be confusing to an enrollee.

Required Action: The PIHP must ensure each Notice of Grievance Resolution letter complies with the requirements of 42 CFR 438.10 and meets the needs of those individuals with limited English proficiency and limited reading proficiency.



Standard VII—Enrollee Grievance Process		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
8. Content of Notice of Grievance Resolution The notice of Grievance resolution must include: 42 CFR 438.408(d)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1		
a. The results of the grievance process. Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(2)(b)(i)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
b. The date the grievance process was concluded. Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(2)(b)(ii)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
c. Notice of the Enrollee's right to request a State Fair Hearing, if the notice of resolution is more than <u>90-days</u> from the date of the Grievance Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(2)(b)(iii)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
d. Instructions on how to access the State Fair Hearing process, if applicable. Attachment P6.3.1.1(VII)(D)(2)(b)(iv)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Grievance Resolution	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
MSHN utilizes a standard template for the notice of grievance resolution P6.3.1 and contains all the elements identified in this section.	a. The notice follows the template requirement identified in con-	tract attachment
HSAG Findings		
HSAG has determined the PIHP is compliant with these elements.		



Results—Standard VII						
Met	ıı	24	Х	1.0	=	24
Not Met	ıı	2	Х	.00	=	0
Not Applicable	=	0				0
Total Applicable	=	26	Tota	l Score	=	24
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable			=	92%		



Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
1. Delegation		
42 CFR 438.230 MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0		
a. The PIHP shall be held solely and fully responsible to execute all provisions of contract, whether or not said provisions are directly pursued by the PIHP, or pursued by the PIHP through a subcontract vendor. 42 CFR 438.230(b)(1) MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 3 • Pg. 8, Section IX (A) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid FY2018 SUD Contract	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How		he Requirement
Since MSHN has been established, compliance with delegated functions are included in the Medicaid Subcontracting Agreements in the form of can lead to revocation of delegated functions should the delegate meet c of not compliance.	a delegation grid. The sanction language within the contracts id	entify the steps that
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		



St	andard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation		
Re	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
2.	Written Contract Each contract or written arrangement must specify: 42 CFR 438.230 MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0 a. The delegated activities or obligations, and related reporting responsibilities. 42 CFR 438.230(c)(1)(i)	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 8 Section IX (A) • Pg. 74, Exhibit G FY 18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid FY18 SUD Provider Reporting Requirements FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 15, II (C) (14) • Pg. 17, II (C) (22)	
	b. The subcontractor agrees to perform the delegated activities and reporting responsibilities specified in compliance with the PIHP's contract obligations.	 Pg. 33, Attachment A (11) Pg. 38, Attachment C (4) MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Pg. 8, Section IX (A-B) Pg. 22, Section XVII (A) 	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	42 CFR 438.230(c)(1)(ii)	 Pg. 74, Exhibit G FY 18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid; 	



Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
c. The contract or written arrangement must either provide for revocation of the delegation of activities or obligations, or specify other remedies in instances where the State or the PIHP determine that the subcontractor has not performed satisfactorily. 42 CFR 438.230(c)(1)(iii)	FY18 SUD Provider Reporting Requirements MSHN HCPCS/CPT Code Grid FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 15, II (C) (14) • Pg. 17, II (C) (22) • Pg. 33, Attachment A (11) • Pg. 38, Attachment C (4) MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 36, Section XXX (D) FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 30, (Section I (h) MSHN Contract Compliance Procedure	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement
Medicaid subcontracts include delegation agreement grids which clearly MSHN. Refer to Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement and delegation g compliance procedure indicates MSHN reserves the right to revoke delegation.	rid. Reporting requirements are also included in contracts. MSF	
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		



Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
3. Agree to Comply				
42 CFR 438.230 MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0				
a. The subcontractor agrees to comply with all applicable Medicaid laws, regulations, including applicable	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement	⊠ Met		
subregulatory guidance and contract provisions.	• Pg. 25, Section XIX (A-F)	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable		
42 CFR 438.230(c)(2)	FY18 SUD Treatment Contract			
	• Pg. 14, Section II (C)(4)			
	• Pg. 18, II (C)(22)(d)			
	• Pg. 22, VI (B)(1)			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement		
Contracts include language specific to agreement to comply with all appropriate provisions as noted above.	olicable Medicaid laws, regulations, including applicable subregu	latory guidance and		
HSAG Findings				
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.				
4. Monitoring of Delegates				
42 CFR 438.230 MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0 Attachment P7.9.1				
 a. The PIHP annually monitors its provider network(s), including any affiliates or subcontractors to which it has 	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid, Pg. 6	☑ Met☐ Not Met		
delegated managed care functions, including service and support provision.	Provider Network Management Policy	☐ Not Applicable		



Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
Attachment P7.9.1(XV)	 Monitoring and Oversight Policy CMHSP Participant Monitoring & Oversight Procedure Monitoring and Oversight of SUD Providers MSHN Delegated Functions Audit 2017 MSHN Delegated Functions Audit (2018 New Standards) MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Page 24, Section XVIII (A-D) SUD Treatment Contract Pg. 13, (Section II (C)(1) Pg. 14, II (C)(9) 	
b. The PIHP shall review and follow up on any provider network monitoring of its subcontractors. Attachment P7.9.1(XV)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid, Pg. 12 Provider Network Management Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	 Monitoring and Oversight Policy CMHSP Participant Monitoring & Oversight Procedure Monitoring and Oversight of SUD Providers Pg. 8/41/44, MSHN Delegated Functions Audit 2017 	



Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 13, (Section II (C)(1) • Pg. 14, II (C)(9) • the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the second se	ne Requirement
I Monitoring and Oversight of SUD Providers Procedure and Moder network and procedure utilized to monitor the provider networkiewed to ensure CMHSPs are monitoring subcontractors. In 201 royal from MSHN in order to subcontract. At this time, it is not a	nitoring and ork. The Delegated 6, MSHN added
MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 24, Section XVIII (A-D) FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 13, Section II (C)(1) • Pg. 14, II (C)(9) • Pg. 17 II (C)(22)(c)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 13, (Section II (C)(1) • Pg. 14, II (C)(9) • the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Monitoring and Oversight of SUD Providers Procedure and Moder network and procedure utilized to monitor the provider network included to ensure CMHSPs are monitoring subcontractors. In 201 roval from MSHN in order to subcontract. At this time, it is not a subcontract of the provider network in the provid



Standard IX—Subcontracts and Delegation		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
b. The subcontractor makes available, for purposes of an audit, evaluation, or inspection, its premises, physical facilities, equipment, books, records, contracts, computer or other electronic systems relating to its Medicaid enrollees. 42 CFR 438.230(c)(3)(ii)	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Page 24, Section XVIII (A-D) SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 13, (Section II (C)(1)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
c. The right to audit exists through 10 years from the final date of the contract period or from the date of completion of any audit, whichever is later.	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 24, Section XVIII. B	☐ Met ☑ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.230(c)(3)(iii)	FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 14, II (C)(9) IT Record Retention Policy	
d. If MDHHS, CMS, or the HHS Inspector General determines that there is a reasonable possibility of fraud or similar risk, MDHHS, CMS, or the HHS Inspector General may inspect, evaluate, and audit the subcontractor at any time. 42 CFR 438.230(c)(3)(iv)	Medicaid Subcontract • Pg. 24, Section XVIII. A-D FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 17, (Section II (C)(22)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
Contracts include language specific to standards a, b, c, and d. Please re Contracts also refer to the record retention guidelines: General Schedule retention expectations are also stated in the Record Retention Policy.		



Standard	IX—Su	bcontracts	and De	legation
----------	-------	------------	--------	----------

Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP**

Score

With regard to standard 5.c. the MDHHS/PIHP contract does not specify that the right to audit exists through 10 years from the final date of the contract period or from the date of completion of any audit, whichever is later. MSHN contracts do not include the 10 year requirement; however we are currently revising contract language for FY19 and will include revisions to specify the 10 year timeframe.

HSAG Findings

The contract between the PIHP and CMHSPs did not include the requirement that the right to audit exists through 10 years from the final date of the contract period or from the date of completion of any audit, whichever is later. During the interview session, PIHP staff members verbalized that contract language is being revised to include this requirement. Additionally, the contract indicated that access to books, documents, and records would be available for four years following the termination of the agreement, which conflicted with the 10-year requirement.

Required Actions: The PIHP must proceed with revising the FY 2019 contract language to include the 10-year requirement. The PIHP should also consider a contract amendment to the FY 2018 contract. The PIHP must ensure its contract does not contain conflicting information.

Results—Standard IX						
Met	=	10	Х	1.0	II	10
Not Met	=	1	Х	.00	=	0
Not Applicable	=	0				
Total Applicable	=	11	11 Total Score		ш	10
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable				=	91%	



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
42 CFR 438.206 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.0 a. The PIHP is responsible for maintaining and continually evaluating an effective provider network supported by written agreements to fulfill the obligations of its contract. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(1) MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.0	Provider Network Management Policy • A. 1. Network Monitoring and Oversight • B. 1. Network Adequacy/Sufficiency MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement FY18 SUD Treatment Contract	
	MSHN Provider Assessment of Network Adequacy	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	<u>-</u>	_
The contract tracking sheet is a list of all contracts with CMHSPs and S written agreements with providers. Provider Network Policy and Network maintaining its network. The Provider Assessment of Network Adequacits contractual obligations under the Master Agreement.	ork Adequacy/Sufficiency Procedures outline policies and proced	dures for
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
2. Sufficiency of Agreements		
42 CFR 438.206		
 Written agreements provide adequate access to all services covered under the contract for all enrollees, including those with limited English proficiency or physical or mental disabilities. 	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 25/26, Section XX (A-D)	⋈ Met□ Not Met□ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.206(b)(1)	FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 22, (Section VI (B)(2)	
	CS- Information Accessibility – Limited English Proficiency Policy	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement
Both the Medicaid Subcontract and SUD contract include language specimental disabilities. MSHNs Information Accessibility – LEP policy add		LEP or physical or
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		
3. Liability for Payment 42 CFR 438.106		
a. The PIHP's providers may not bill individuals for the difference between the provider's charge and the PIHP's payment for services.	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 18, Section XIV (H)(1-3)	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.106(b)(2) MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.8.2.2	FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 11, (Section II (B)(5)	



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	• Pg. 12, II (B)(6)	
	• Pg. 20, IV (I)	
b. Providers shall not seek nor accept any additional payment for	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement	⊠ Met
covered services furnished under a contract, referral, or other arrangement, to the extent that those payments are in excess of	• Pg. 18, Section XIV (H)(1-3)	□ Not Met
the amount that the beneficiary would owe if the PIHP		☐ Not Applicable
provided the services directly.	FY18 SUD Treatment Contract	
42 CFR 438.106(c)	• Pg. 11, (Section II (B)(5)	
MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.8.2.2	• Pg. 12, II (B)(6)	
	• Pg. 20, IV (I)	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement
Medicaid Subcontract and SUD contract include language specific to lia	bility for payment. Specific sections within the contracts are not	ted above.
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		
4. Reason for Decision to Decline		
42 CFR 438.12		
MDHHS Contract Part I-37.0		
a. Must give those providers not selected for inclusion in the	Credentialing: Suspension and Revocation Procedure	⊠ Met
network written notice of the reason for its decision.		☐ Not Met
42 CFR 438.12(a)(1)	Example of letter to provider	☐ Not Applicable
MDHHS Contract Part I-37.0 Attachment P7.1.1(H)	Pinnacle Recovery Services	



Standard X—Provider Network			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement		
Credentialing: Suspension and Revocation Procedure indicates the requi prospective provider with the basis for not approving the provider to join	*	of a letter sent to a	
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			
5. Network Changes The PIHP submits supporting documentation to MDHHS that demonstrates that it has the capacity to serve the expected enrollment in its service area:			
42 CFR 438.207 Attachment P7.7.1.1			
a. On an annual basis.* 42 CFR 438.207(c)(2) *Effective for contracts beginning on or after July 1, 2018.	Provider Network Adequacy Assessment Provider Network Management Policy • Section B.3	☐ Met☐ Not Met☒ Not Applicable	
b. The PIHP shall notify MDHHS within 7 days of any changes to the composition of the provider network organizations that negatively affect access to care.	Example Notice to MDHHS re: Termination of contracts Ionia County HD, Care Free Clinic, Addiction Solutions – Alma	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.207(c)(3) Attachment P7.7.1.1	Termination checklist		
	Credentialing: Provider Suspension/Revocation procedure		



Standard X—Provider Network			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
c. PIHPs shall have procedures to address changes in its network that negatively affect access to care.	Service Provider Procurement policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
Attachment P7.7.1.1	Provider Network Management policy	☐ Not Applicable	
	Credentialing: Provider Suspension/Revocation procedure		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
The 2017 provider network adequacy assessment demonstrates MSHN emanagement policy and marked as reviewed and filed by the MSHN Bo changes to its network as outlined in the termination checklist. Example suspension/revocation procedure includes language specific to notifying	ard of Directors. MSHN also has procedures in place to notify Nes of communiques to MDHHS have been provided. The creder	MDHHS of any	
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
6. Out-of-Network Responsibility			
42 CFR 438.206 MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.10			
a. If the PIHP is unable to provide necessary medical services covered under the contract to a particular beneficiary the PIHP	Out of State Placement Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
must adequately and timely cover these services out of network for the beneficiary, for as long as the entity is unable	SUD Services - Out of Region Coverage	☐ Not Applicable	
to provide them within the network.	Customer Services Handbook		
42 CFR 438.206(b)(4) MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.10	 Out-of-Network Providers: Pg. 54 		



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement		
Out of State Placement policy and SUD Services - Out of Region Cover Customer Services Handbook addresses Out-of-Network providers for c		ficiaries. The
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		
7. Requirements Related to Payment		
42 CFR 438.206 MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.10		
a. Since there is no cost to the beneficiary for the PIHP's innetwork services, there may be no cost to beneficiary for medically-necessary specialty services provided out-of-network. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(5) MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.10	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 18, Section XIV (H)(1-3) FY18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 11, (Section II (B)(5) • Pg. 12, II (B)(6) • Pg. 20, IV (I) Customer Services Handbook • Out-of-Network Providers: Pg. 54	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement
Contracts include language (see specific references above) related to no Customer services handbook addresses out-of-network providers if services		out of network.
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		
	·	



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
8. Second Opinion 42 CFR 438.206		
MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.9		
 a. If the beneficiary requests, the PIHP must provide for a second opinion from a qualified health care professional within the network, or arrange for the ability of the beneficiary to obtain one outside the network, at no cost to the beneficiary. 42 CFR 438.206(b)(3) MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.9 	 FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid Pg. 3, Section I "Customer Service" Pg. 17, Section X "Utilization Management" FY18 SUD Treatment Contract Pg. 16/17, Section II (C)(20) Pg. 19, IV (D) & (G) FY18 SUD Provider Manual Pg. 51 	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	Customer Services Handbook • Second Opinions: Pgs. 34, 49, & 54	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With tl	ne Requirement
Contracts include language (see specific references above) related to a b provider manual. The customer services handbook addresses second op		t of the SUD
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
9. Cultural Considerations 42 CFR 438.206 MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.5 a. The PIHP promotes the delivery of services in a culturally competent manner to all enrollees, including those with limited English proficiency and diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds, disabilities, and regardless of gender, sexual orientation or gender identity. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(2) MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.5	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement • Pg. 9, Section X (A)(1) FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid • Pg. 11, Section VII "Provider Network" FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 19, Section IV (C) FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual • Pgs. 19-20 Cultural Competency Policy	
	Customer Service Policy Utilization Management (Access system) Policy	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement
Contracts include language in support of delivery of services in a cultur following MSHN policies support this requirement: Cultural Competent	· ·	language. The
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
10. Accessibility Considerations The PIHP ensures that network providers provide physical access, reasonable accommodations, and accessible equipment for Medicaid enrollees with physical or mental disabilities. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(3) Attachment P4.1.1 a. The access system shall maintain the capacity to immediately accommodate individuals who present with: LEP and other linguistic needs, diverse cultural and demographic backgrounds, visual impairments, alternative needs for communication, and mobility challenges. 42 CFR 438.206(c)(3) Attachment P4.1.1(I)(c)	MSHN FY 2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Pg. 9, Section X. A (1) Pg. 10, XI. B FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Delegation Grid Pg. 2, Section I "Customer Service; Pg. 11, Section VII "Provider Network" FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract Pg. 19, Section IV (C) FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual	Score
	• Pgs. 19 & 20	
	Cultural Competency Policy Customer Service Policy	



Standard X—Provider Network		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	Utilization Management (Access system) Policy	
	Customer Service – Information Accessibility – Limited English Proficiency Policy	

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

Contracts include language in support of provisions for reasonable accommodations and accessible equipment for beneficiaries. The SUD provider manual also includes language. The following MSHN policies support this requirement: Cultural Competency, Customer Service, Information Accessibility, and UM Access System.

HSAG Findings

HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.

Results—Standard X						
Met	II	12	Х	1.0	II	12
Not Met	Ш	0	Х	.00	ш	0
Not Applicable	II	1				
Total Applicable	=	12	2 Total Score		=	12
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable				II	100%	



Standard XII—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
Findings were derived from the Michigan Mission-Based Performance Indicator System—Access Domain, Indicators 1 through 4b. MDHHS provided data directly to HSAG for April 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017. The PIHP's performance was evaluated and scored based on data across the reported quarters.		
1. Access Standards		
MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1		
a. The PIHP shall ensure timely access to supports and services in accordance with the Access Standards in Attachment P4.1.1	MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy	☑ Met☑ Not Met
and the following timeliness standards, and report its performance on the standards in accordance with Attachment P7.7.1.1 of the contract.	MSHN Performance Indicator Detail Data Collection Instructions	☐ Not Applicable
MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1	FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 19)	
	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	
	Performance Indicator Description of Project Study	
	PIHP Standardized Elements	
	MSHN PIHP aggregated standardized template	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement		
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and reporting for the region. MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy, MSHN Performance Indicator Detail Data Collection Instructions and the Performance Indicator Description of Project Study demonstrate the requirements for the PI's. The QAPIP, the Performance Indicator Description of Project Study and the PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demonstrates how MSHN monitors compliance with standards for the last fiscal year, including trending data and requiring necessary plans of correction. The PI Summary Report is also reviewed on a quarterly schedule with the Regional Quality Improvement Council.		
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		



Standard XII—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
2. Access Standards—Preadmission Screening The percent of all Medicaid beneficiaries receiving a preadmission screening for psychiatric inpatient care for whom the disposition was completed within three hours. Standard = 95% in three hours MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1			
a. Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
b. Adult	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	✓ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement	
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and reporting for the region. The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demonstrates full compliance with indicator 1 for the last fiscal year.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Standard XII—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
3. Access Standards—Face-to-Face Assessment The percent of new Medicaid beneficiaries receiving a face-to- face meeting with a professional within 14 calendar days of a non- emergency request for service. Standard = 95% in 14 days MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1			
a. Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1		
b. Adult	PI Summary Report FY18Q1		
c. Developmentally Disabled—Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
d. Developmentally Disabled—Adult	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
e. Substance Abuse	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	



Standard XII—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With tl	ne Requirement
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and r compliance with indicator 2 for the last fiscal year.	eporting for the region. The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demo	nstrates full
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		
4. Access Standards—Ongoing Services The percent of new persons starting any needed on-going service within 14 days of a non-emergent assessment with a professional. Standard = 95% in 14 days		
MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1		
a. Mentally III—Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
b. Mentally Ill—Adult	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
c. Developmentally Disabled—Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
d. Developmentally Disabled—Adult	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard XII—Access and Availability		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
e. Substance Abuse	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	⊠ Met
		☐ Not Met
		☐ Not Applicable
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and compliance with indicator 3 for the last fiscal year.	reporting for the region. The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demo	onstrates substantial
HSAG Findings		
The PIHP's aggregated rates over the three reporting quarters for timely ongoing service within 14 days of an assessment for children and adults with a mental illness, adults with a developmental disability, and beneficiaries with a SUD met the minimum performance standard of 95 percent. However, the aggregated rate for children with a developmental disability fell below the MDHHS benchmark.		
Required Actions: The PIHP must ensure that children with a development least 95 percent of the time.	mental disability receive timely, ongoing service within 14 days of	of an assessment at
5. Access Standards—Follow-up Care After Discharge/Inpatient		
The percent of discharges from a psychiatric inpatient unit who		
are seen for follow-up care within seven days. Standard = 95%		
in seven days		
MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1		
a. Children	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	⊠ Met
		☐ Not Met
		☐ Not Applicable
b. Adults	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	⊠ Met
		☐ Not Met
		☐ Not Applicable



Standard XII—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement	
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and compliance with indicator 4a for the last fiscal year.	reporting for the region. The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demo	onstrates full	
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
6. Access Standards— Follow-up After Discharge/Detox The percent of discharges from a detoxification unit who are seen for follow-up care within seven days. Standard = 95% in seven days MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1 Attachment P7.7.1.1			
a. Substance Abuse	PI Summary Report FY18Q1	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement			
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and reporting for the region. The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demonstrates full compliance with indicator 4b for the last fiscal year.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			



Standard XII—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
7. Providers Required to Meet Access Standards	MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy		
42 CFR 438.206 MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0 MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1, 7.11 Attachment P7.7.1.1	FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 19) FY18 SUD Treatment Contract (Attachment C: Performance Indicators, Pg. 38)		
	Performance Indicator Description of Project Study		
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid: Section IV. Information Systems Management and Section VIII. Quality Management		
a. The PIHP requires its providers to meet Medicaid accessibility standards.	MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy	⊠ Met	
42 CFR 438.206(c)(1)(i) MDHHS Contract Part II A-4.1	MSHN PIHP PI Review Tool	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable	
MDHHS Contract Part I-38.0(16) Attachment P7.7.1.1	FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 19)		
b. The PIHP shall establish ongoing internal monitoring and auditing to assure that the standards are enforced, to identify	MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy	☑ Met☑ Not Met	
other high-risk compliance areas, and to identify where improvements must be made.	MSHN PIHP PI Review Tool	□ Not Met □ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.206(c)(1)(iv) MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.11	MSHN QIC PI POC Template		
	FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 19)		
	PI Summary Report FY18Q1		



Standard XII—Access and Availability			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
c. There are procedures for prompt response to identified problems and development of corrective actions.	MSHN MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
42 CFR 438.206(c)(1)(vi) MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.11	MSHN QIC PI POC Template	☐ Not Applicable	
	FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 19)		
	PI Summary Report FY18Q1		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
MSHN oversees the Performance Indicator aggregation, validation and reporting for the region. The provider network contracts with the PIHP, the PIHP MMBPIS Performance Indicator Policy and the MSHN QAPIP all require provider compliance with reporting performance indicators.			
The PI Summary Report FY18Q1 demonstrates how MSHN reviews compliance with standards for the last fiscal year, including trending data and requiring necessary plans of correction. The PI Summary Report is also reviewed on a quarterly schedule with the Regional Quality Improvement Council. For any provider that is below the state established standard, then a plan of correction is required utilizing the standards plan of correction template.			
MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews. The MSHN PIHP PI Review Tool is used to review a sample of files for compliance with standards during the site reviews.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Results—Standard XII						
Met	Met = 18 X 1.0 =					18
Not Met	II	1	Х	.00	=	0
Not Applicable	=	0				
Total Applicable	pplicable = 19 Total Score		=	18		
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable			- 11	95%		



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
1. Appeals		
42 CFR 438.402 Attachment P6.3.1.1		
 a. The PIHP has an appeal system in place for Enrollee's that complies with Subpart F of Part 438. 	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met
Attachment P6.3.1.1(III)	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	☐ Not Applicable
	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pg. 19	
	FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pgs. 5, 11, & 14	
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	 MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination 	
	 MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval 	
	 MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial 	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-36	

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

MSHN has an established process in place that is compliant with the MDHHS contract attachment P.6.3.1.1. MSHN utilizes the Consumer Handbook to provide information to enrollee's on their rights for appeals, grievances and Medicaid Fair Hearings. MSHN provides information to the provider network through the referenced policies/procedures and includes the requirement for providers to comply with the State standards as part of their contract with



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
MSHN. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network arreviews.	nd provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Ma	anaged Care site
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.		
2. Information to Subcontractors and Providers The PIHP provides information about the appeal system to all providers and subcontractors at the time they enter into a contract. The information includes: 42 CFR 438.414 42 CFR 438.10 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.0(4) a. The right to file appeals.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-34	⊠ Met
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(A)	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 14 & 19 FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pgs. 5 & 11	□ Not Met □ Not Applicable



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
b. The requirement and timeframes for filing an appeal.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-34	⊠ Met
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(B)	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	
	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 14 & 19	
	FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pgs. 5 & 11	
c. The availability of assistance in the filing process.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-34	☑ Met☐ Not Met
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(C)	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Met
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	
	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 14 & 19	
	FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pgs. 5 & 11	



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
d. The right to request a State fair hearing after the PIHP has made a determination on an enrollee's appeal which is adverse to the enrollee.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(D)	MSHN Form Templates:		
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
e. The fact that, when requested by the enrollee, benefits that the PIHP seeks to reduce or terminate will continue if the enrollee files an appeal or a request for State fair hearing within the timeframes specified for filing.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 34, 36 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(E)	MSHN Form Templates:		
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
f. The fact that, the enrollee may be required to pay the cost of services furnished while the appeal or state fair hearing is pending if the final decision is adverse to the enrollee.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 36 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.10(g)(2)(xi)(E)	MSHN Form Templates:		
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
The provider contracts with MSHN includes information on following the appeal process outlined by MSHN and the MDHHS standards. MSHN also has an Appeals and Grievance Policy, as well as the Consumer Handbook, that outlines information about appeals, the timeframes for filing appeals, assistance with filing, Medicaid Fair Hearings and continuation of benefits during an appeal. MSHN utilizes a standardized adverse benefit determination document that also includes information on timeframes, fair hearings, assistance, etc. MSHN delegates this function to the provider network through the delegation grid and the Appeals and Grievance Policy.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Sta	Standard XIV—Appeals			
Re	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
3.	Appeal Process			
	42 CFR 438.402 42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1			
	a. The Enrollee has <u>60 calendar days</u> from the date of the notice of Adverse Benefit Determination to request an Appeal.	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service		
	42 CFR 438.402(c)(2)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(A)(1)	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 19	☐ Not Applicable	
		FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pg. 5		
		MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy		
		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34		
		MSHN Form Templates:		
		MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
	b. The Enrollee may request an Appeal either orally or in writing.	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
	42 CFR 438.402(c)(3)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(A)(2)	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 19	□ Not Applicable	
		FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pg. 5		



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
		MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	
		MSHN Form Templates:	
		MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
c.	Unless the Enrollee requests an expedited resolution, an oral request for Appeal must be followed by a written, signed request for Appeal.	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	☐ Met☒ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	42 CFR 438.402(c)(3)(ii)	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 19	
	Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(A)(2)	FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pg. 5	
		MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	
		Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33-34	
		MSHN Form Templates:	
		MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
d.	Oral inquiries seeking to appeal an Adverse Benefit Determination are treated as Appeals (to establish the earliest possible filing date for the Appeal).	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
	42 CFR 438.406(b)(3) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(A)(2)	FY18 SUDSP Treatment Contract: Pgs. 19	**



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	FY18 SUDSP Provider Manual: Pg. 5	
	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	
	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 33	
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
e. The Appeal System must provide Enrollees:		
 i. With the written consent from the Enrollee, the right to have a provider or other authorized representative, acting on the Enrollee's behalf, file an Appeal. 	FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – Section I: Customer Service	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.402(c)(1)(ii)	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	
Attachment P6.3.1.1(III)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	
	MSHN Form Templates:	
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
ii. Punitive action may not be taken by the PIHP against a provider who acts on the Enrollee's behalf with the	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook pg. 51	☑ Met☐ Not Met
Enrollee's written consent to do so.	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable
Attachment P6.3.1.1(III)		



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
MSHN utilizes the Consumer Handbook to provide information regarding consumer rights involving appeals. MSHN has also developed standardized Notices for Notice of Receipt of Appeal, Notice of Appeal Denial and Notice of Appeal Approval that are used region wide. MSHN's policy/procedure for appeals and grievances is in compliance with the MDHHS contract requirements. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.			
HSAG Findings			
None of the cases reviewed on-site were compliant with the requirement that an oral request for appeal must be followed by a written, signed request for appeal. Required Actions: Following the acceptance of an oral request for appeal, the PIHP must obtain a written, signed request for non-expedited appeals.			
4. Medicaid Services Continuation or Reinstatement			
42 CFR 438.420 42 CFR 438.424 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. If an Appeal involves the termination, suspension, or reduction of previously authorized services that were ordered by an authorized provider, the PIHP MUST continue the Enrollee's benefits if all of the following occur:			
i. The Enrollee files the request for Appeal timely (within 60 calendar days). 42 CFR 438.420(b)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(A)(1)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
	MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
ii. The Enrollee files the request for continuation of benefits timely (on or before the latter of (i) 10 calendar days from the date of the notice of Adverse Benefit Determination, or (ii) the intended effective date of the	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
proposed Adverse Benefit Determination). 42 CFR 438.420(b)(5)	MSHN Form Templates:		
Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(A)(2)	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
iii. The period covered by the original authorization has not expired.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
42 CFR 438.420(b)(4) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(A)(3)	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable	
	MSHN Form Templates:		
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial		
b. If the PIHP continues or reinstates the Enrollee's benefits, at the Enrollee's request, while the Appeal or State Fair Hearing is pending, the PIHP must continue the benefits until one of following occurs:			
i. The Enrollee withdraws the Appeal or request for State Fair Hearing.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
42 CFR 438.420(c)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(B)(1)	 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial 	☐ Not Applicable	



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
ii. The Enrollee fails to request a State Fair Hearing and continuation of benefits within 10 calendar days after PIHP sends the Enrollee notice of an adverse resolution to the Enrollee's Appeal. 42 CFR 438.420(c)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(B)(2)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination • MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
iii. A State Fair Hearing office issues a decision adverse to the Enrollee. 42 CFR 438.420(c)(3) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(B)(3)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
c. If the final resolution of the Appeal or State Fair Hearing upholds the PIHP's Adverse Benefit Determination, the PIHP may, consistent with the state's usual policy on recoveries and as specified in the PIHP's contract, recover the cost of services furnished to the Enrollee while the Appeal and State Fair Hearing was pending, to the extent that they were furnished solely because of these requirements. 42 CFR 438.420(d) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(C)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 36 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
d. If the Enrollee's services were reduced, terminated or suspended without an advance notice, the PIHP must reinstate services to the level before the action. Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(D)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 36 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
e. If the PIHP, or the MDHHS fair hearing administrative law judge reverses a decision to deny authorization of services, and the Enrollee received the disputed services while the appeal was pending, the PIHP or the State must pay for those services in accordance with State policy and regulations.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 36	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.424(b) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(E)			
f. If the PIHP, or the MDHHS fair hearing administrative law judge reverses a decision to deny, limit, or delay services that were not furnished while the appeal was pending, the PIHP must authorize or provide the disputed services promptly, and as expeditiously as the Enrollee's health condition requires, but no later than 72 hours from the date it receives notice reversing the determination. 42 CFR 438.424(a) Attachment P6.3.1.1(V)(F)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 36	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement	
MSHN utilizes the Consumer Handbook to provide information regarding consumer rights involving appeals. MSHN has also developed standardized Notices for Notice of Receipt of Appeal, Notice of Appeal Denial and Notice of Appeal Approval that are used region wide. MSHN's policy/procedure for appeals and grievances is in compliance with the MDHHS contract requirements. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
5. PIHP Responsibilities When Enrollee Requests an Appeal			
42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1			
a. Provide Enrollees reasonable assistance to complete forms and to take other procedural steps. This includes but is not limited to auxiliary aids and services upon request, such as providing interpreter services and toll free numbers that have adequate TTY/TTD and interpreter capability. 42 CFR 438.406(a) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(1)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 33-34	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
b. Acknowledge receipt of each appeal. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(2)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
c. Maintain a record of appeals for review by the State as part of its quality strategy. Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(3)	MSHN CS_Reporting Medicaid Appeals.Grievances.RR Procedure	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe Hov	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t		
MSHN utilizes the Consumer Handbook to provide information regarding consumer rights involving appeals. MSHN's policy/procedure for appeals and grievances is in compliance with the MDHHS contract requirements and the Consumer Services Policy includes additional information on providing assistance to the consumer as needed, including the use of interpreter services etc. MSHN also have developed a standard template for use region wide for the notice of receipt of appeal. MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Sta	Standard XIV—Appeals			
Re	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
6.	Individuals Making Decisions Ensure that the individual(s) who make the decisions on Appeals: 42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. Were not involved in any previous level of review or decision-making, nor a subordinate of any such individual.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	✓ Met☐ Not Met	
	42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(i) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(4)(a)	2017-2018 Appeal Review Template	☐ Not Applicable	
	b. When deciding an Appeal that involves either (i) clinical issues, or (ii) a denial based on lack of medical necessity, are individual(s) who have the appropriate clinical expertise, as determined by the State, in treating the Enrollee's condition or disease. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(ii)(A, C) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(4)(b)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 FY18 Medicaid Subcontract Exhibit A Delegation Grid – VII. Provider Network (Pg. 13) MSHN FY18 QAPIP (Pg. 13 -14) Utilization Management Policy 2017-2018 Appeal Review Template	⊠ Met □ Not Met □ Not Applicable	
	c. Take into account all comments, documents, records, and other information submitted by the Enrollee or their representative without regard to whether such information was submitted or considered in the initial Adverse Benefit Determination. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(2)(iii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(4)(c)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement	
MSHN delegates this responsibility to the Provider Network and provides oversight and monitoring as part of the Delegated Managed Care site reviews. As part of the site review. MSHN reviews a sample of appeals to ensure that standards are met. MSHN's QAPIP also identifies the requirement for decision makers to have the appropriate level of expertise.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			
7. Right to Examine Records			
42 CFR 438.406 Attachment P6.3.1.1			
a. Provide the Enrollee a reasonable opportunity to present evidence, testimony and allegations of fact or law in person	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
and in writing.	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.406(b)(4) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(5)	MSHN Form Templates:		
	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
i. Inform the Enrollee of the limited time available for this sufficiently in advance of the resolution timeframe for	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
Appeals.	MSHN Form Templates:	☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.406(b)(4) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(5)	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
b. Provide the Enrollee and his/her representative the Enrollee's	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	⊠ Met	
case file, including medical records and any other documents or records considered, relied upon, or generated by or at the direction of the PIHP in connection with the Appeal of the	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable	
Adverse Benefit Determination.	MSHN Form Templates:		



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
42 CFR 438.406(b)(5) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(6)	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
 i. This information must be provided free of charge and sufficiently in advance of the resolution timeframe for the appeal. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(5) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(6) 	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
c. Provide opportunity to include as parties to the appeal the Enrollee and his or her representative, or the legal representative of a deceased Enrollee's estate. 42 CFR 438.406(b)(6)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(7)	MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
d. Provide the Enrollee with information regarding the right to request a State Fair Hearing and the process to be used to request one.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(B)(7)	MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement			
MSHN delegates this function to the Provider Network through the delegation grid and the Customer Service Medicaid Enrollee Appeals and Grievances Policy. Information is also within the Consumer Handbook and the Adverse Benefit Determination Form.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Standard XIV—Appeals			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
8. Standard Appeal Resolution 42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. The PIHP must resolve the Appeal and provide notice of resolution to the affected parties as expeditiously as the Enrollee's health condition requires, but not to exceed 30 calendar days from the day the PIHP receives the Appeal. 42 CFR 438.408(b)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(1)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	☐ Met ⊠ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement	
MSHN delegates this function to the Provider Network through contract obligations and Customer Service Policies. Information is also contained in the Handbook and the MSHN Adverse Benefit Determination Form, Notice of Appeal Approval Form and the Notice of Appeal Denial Form.			
HSAG Findings			
Two of the cases reviewed on-site exceeded 30 calendar days from the date the PIHP received the appeal. Required Actions: The PIHP must resolve the appeal and provide notice of resolution to the affected parties as quickly as the enrollee's health condition requires, but not to exceed 30 calendar days from the day the PIHP receives the appeal.			



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
9. Expedited Appeal Resolution 42 CFR 438.408 42 CFR 438.410 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. Available where the PIHP determines (for a request from the Enrollee) or the provider indicates (in making a request on the Enrollee's behalf or supporting the Enrollee's request) that the time for a standard resolution could seriously jeopardize the Enrollee's life, physical or mental health, or ability to attain, maintain, or regain maximum function. 42 CFR 438.410(a) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(a)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34 MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination • MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval • MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	
b. The PIHP may not take punitive action against a provider who requests an expedited resolution or supports an Enrollee's appeal. 42 CFR 438.410(b) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(b)	MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 51	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
c. If a request for expedited resolution is denied, the PIHP must: i. Transfer the appeal to the timeframe for standard resolution. 42 CFR 438.410(c)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(c)(i)	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard XI	IV—Appeals		
Requirement		Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
ii.	Make reasonable efforts to give the Enrollee prompt oral notice of the denial. 42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(i) 42 CFR 438.410(c)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(c)(ii)	 MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial 	
		MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	
iii.	Within 2 calendar days, give the Enrollee written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and inform the Enrollee of the right to file a Grievance if they disagree with the decision. 42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(ii) 42 CFR 438.410(c)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(c)(iii)	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
iv.	Resolve the Appeal as expeditiously as the Enrollee's health condition requires but not to exceed 30 calendar days. 42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(iii) 42 CFR 438.410(c)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(c)(iv)	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook pg. 34 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	✓ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	
d. If a request for expedited resolution is granted, the PIHP must	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	⊠ Met
resolve the Appeal and provide notice of resolution to the affected parties no longer than <u>72 hours</u> after the PIHP receives the request for expedited resolution of the Appeal.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pg. 34	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.408(b)(3)	MSHN Form Templates:	
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(2)(d)	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement
MSHN delegates this function to the Provider Network through contract Handbook and the MSHN Adverse Benefit Determination Form, Notice		
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
10. Extension of Timeframes		
42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1		
a. The PIHP may extend the resolution and notice timeframe by up to 14 calendar days if the Enrollee requests an extension, or	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met
if the PIHP shows to the satisfaction of the State that there is a need for additional information and how the delay is in the	MSHN Form Templates:	☐ Not Applicable
Enrollee's interest 42 CFR 438.408(c)(1)(i-ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(3)	 MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal 	
b. If the PIHP extends resolution/notice timeframes, it must complete all of the following:		
 Make reasonable efforts to give the Enrollee prompt oral notice of the delay; 	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met
42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(i)	MSHN Form Templates:	☐ Not Applicable
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(3)(a)(i)	MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	
ii. Within <u>2 calendar days</u> , give the Enrollee written notice of the reason for the decision to extend the timeframe and	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met
inform the Enrollee of the right to file a Grievance if they disagree with the decision.	MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(3)(a)(ii)		



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
iii. Resolve the Appeal as expeditiously as the Enrollee's health condition requires and not later than the date the	MSHN CS-Medicaid Appeals_Grievances Policy	⊠ Met □ Not Met
extension expires.	MSHN Form Templates:	☐ Not Applicable
42 CFR 438.408(c)(2)(i Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(3)(a)(i		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe H	ow the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement
MSHN delegates this function to the Provider Network through contr Handbook and the MSHN Adverse Benefit Determination Form and		contained in the
HSAG Findings		
\ensuremath{HSAG} determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		
11. Appeal Resolution Notice Format		
42 CFR 438.40 Attachment P6.3.1		
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the resolution of their Appeal, and must also make reasonable		
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the	1	
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the resolution of their Appeal, and must also make reasonable efforts to provide oral notice in the case of an expedited	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, Pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy	□ Not Met
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the resolution of their Appeal, and must also make reasonable efforts to provide oral notice in the case of an expedited resolution.	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, Pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates:	□ Not Met
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the resolution of their Appeal, and must also make reasonable efforts to provide oral notice in the case of an expedited resolution. 42 CFR 438.408(d)(2)(Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, Pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates:	□ Not Met
a. The PIHP must provide Enrollees with written notice of the resolution of their Appeal, and must also make reasonable efforts to provide oral notice in the case of an expedited resolution. 42 CFR 438.408(d)(2)(Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, Pg. 34 MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination	□ Not Met



Standard XIV—Appeals					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score			
b. Enrollee notice must meet the requirements of 42 CFR 438.10 (i.e., "in a manner and format that may be easily understood and is readily accessible by such enrollees and potential enrollees," meets the needs of those with limited English	MSHN CS_Medicaid_Enrollee_Appeals_Grievances Policy Customer Service Policy	☐ Met ⊠ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable			
proficiency and or limited reading proficiency). 42 CFR438.10	Customer Service Accessibility LEP Policy				
42 CFR 438.408(d)(2)(i) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(4)(a)	 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Adverse Benefit Determination MSHN FY18 Notice of Receipt of Appeal MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval 				
	MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial				

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

MSHN utilizes standard templates for notice of receipt of appeal, notice of appeal approval and notice of appeal denial. The notices follow the template requirement identified in contract attachment P6.3.1. MSHN also ensures that information is provided to the enrollees in a format that is easily understood and meets the language needs as evidenced by the Customer Service Policy and the Customer Service Accessibility LEP Policy.

HSAG Findings

The resolution letters reviewed on-site were written at a level higher than the required fourth-grade reading level. Further, many of the letters were excessively long and were more like clinical progress notes than formal notices of the appeal decision.

Required Actions: Enrollee resolution notices must be provided in a manner and format that is easily understood by enrollees with limited English proficiency and or limited reading proficiency.



Standard XIV—Appeals		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
12. Appeal Resolution Notice Content		
42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1		
a. The notice of resolution must include the results of the resolution and the date it was completed. 42 CFR 438.408(e)(1) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(5)(a)	 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Approval MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial 	☐ Met ⊠ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable
b. When the appeal is not resolved wholly in favor of the Enrollee, the notice of disposition must also include notice of the Enrollee's:		
i. Right to request a state fair hearing, and how to do so. 42 CFR 438.408(e)(2)(i) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(5)(b)(i)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN Form Templates: MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
ii. Right to request to receive benefits while the state fair hearing is pending, and how to make the request. 42 CFR 438.408(e)(2)(ii) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(5)(b)(ii)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
c. Potential liability for the cost of those benefits if the hearing decision upholds the PIHP's Adverse Benefit Determination. 42 CFR 438.408(e)(2)(iii)	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36 MSHN Form Templates:	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
Attachment P6.3.1.1(VI)(C)(5)(b)(iii)	 MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial 	



Standard XIV—Appeals					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement				
MSHN utilizes standard templates for notice of receipt of appeal, notice of appeal approval and notice of appeal denial. The notices follow the template requirement identified in contract attachment P6.3.1. The notice of appeal denial contains information about requesting a Medicaid fair hearing and how to continue benefits while doing so. The Consumer Handbook also contains information about Medicaid fair hearings and continuation of benefits.					
HSAG Findings					
Only two of the records reviewed on-site included all the required context. Required Actions: The PIHP must ensure that appeal resolution letters	* *				
13. State Fair Hearing 42 CFR 438.408 Attachment P6.3.1.1 a. Enrollees are given 120 calendar days from the date of the applicable notice of resolution to file a request for a State Fair	Example: CMH for Central Michigan Handbook, pgs. 35-36	✓ Met☐ Not Met			
Hearing. 42 CFR 438.408(f)(2) Attachment P6.3.1.1(VIII)(D)	MSHN Form Templates: • MSHN FY18 Notice of Appeal Denial	☐ Not Applicable			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement					
MSHN utilizes standard templates for notice of receipt of appeal, notice of appeal approval and notice of appeal denial. The notices follow the template requirement identified in contract attachment P6.3.1. The notice of appeal denial contains information about requesting a Medicaid fair hearing. The Consumer Handbook also contains information about Medicaid fair hearings and the timeframes.					
MSHN's Customer Service and Rights Specialist is the Medicaid Fair Hearings Officer for all hearings involving MSHN and the Substance Use Provider Agencies. Medicaid Fair Hearings involving the CMHSP's are a delegated function and handled by the CMHSP's.					
HSAG Findings					
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.					



Results—Standard XIV						
Met	II	50	Х	1.0	ı	50
Not Met	II	4	Х	.00	II	0
Not Applicable	=	0				
Total Applicable		54	Tota	l Score		50
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable				=	93%	



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
1. Disclosure of Ownership, Controlling Interest and Management Statement and Attestation of Criminal Convictions, Sanctions, Exclusions, Debarment or Termination The PIHP ensures that its providers and contractors submit full disclosures identified in 42 CFR Part 455 Subpart B. Disclosures include:				
42 CFR 455.104 42 CFR 455.106 MDHHS Contract Part I-34.0–34.1				
a. Name and address of any person (individual or corporation) with an ownership or control interest in the disclosing entity. The address for corporate entities must include primary business address, every business location, and P.O. Box location.	Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable		
42 CFR 455.104(b)(1)(i)	Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure			
b. Date of birth and Social Security number of each person with an ownership or control interest in the disclosing entity.	Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement	☑ Met☐ Not Met		
42 CFR 455.104(b)(1)(ii)	Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy	☐ Not Applicable		
	Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure			



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score c. Other tax identification number (in the case of a corporation) \bowtie Met Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement with an ownership or control interest in the disclosing entity ☐ Not Met or in any subcontractor in which the disclosing entity has a ☐ Not Applicable Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions five percent or more interest. **Policy** 42 CFR 455.104(b)(1)(iii) Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions **Procedure** Whether the person (individual or corporation) with an \bowtie Met MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement ownership or control interest in the disclosing entity is related □ Not Met Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.c. to another person with an ownership or control interest in the ☐ Not Applicable disclosing entity as a spouse, parent, child, or sibling or FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract whether the person (individual or corporation) with an ownership or control interest in any subcontractor in which Pgs. 16, 23, & 24 the disclosing entity has a five percent or more interest is related to another person with ownership or control interest as Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement a spouse, parent, child, or sibling. 42 CFR 455.104(b)(2) Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score e. The name of any other disclosing entity in which an owner of \bowtie Met Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement the disclosing entity has an ownership or control interest. ☐ Not Met Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions ☐ Not Applicable 42 CFR 455.104(b)(3) Policy Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure The name, address, date of birth, and Social Security number ⊠ Met Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement of any managing employee of the disclosing entity. □ Not Met Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions ☐ Not Applicable 42 CFR 455.104(b)(3) **Policy** Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure The identity of any individual who has an ownership or ⊠ Met MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement control interest in the provider, or is an agent or managing ☐ Not Met • Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.d. employee of the provider and has been convicted of a criminal ☐ Not Applicable offense related to that person's involvement in any program FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract under Medicare, Medicaid, or the Title XX services program since the inception of those programs. • Pgs. 16, 23, & 24 42 CFR 455.106(a)(1-2) Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement		
A policy <i>Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions</i> and procedure <i>Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions</i> outlin the requirements of the disclosures. The <i>MSHN Ownership, Control, and Criminal Conviction Disclosure</i> statement (form) includes required information and sites 42 CFR 455 for reference. Contracts include language regarding disclosure requirements.				
HSAG Findings				
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.				
2. Time of Disclosure Disclosure from any provider or disclosing entity is due at any of the following times: 42 CFR 455.104 MDHHS Contract Part I-34.2 a. Upon the provider or disclosing entity submitting the provider application. 42 CFR 455.104(c)(1)(i)	MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.c. FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract Pg. 16 Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure Application for Organizational Providers, Checklist Pg. 6			
	Application for Organizational Providers, Checklist Pg. 6.			



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score b. Upon the provider or disclosing entity executing the provider \bowtie Met MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement agreement. □ Not Met • Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.c. ☐ Not Applicable 42 CFR 455.104(c)(1)(ii) FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 16 Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions **Policy** Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure c. Upon request of the Medicaid agency during the re-validation \bowtie Met MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement of enrollment process under §455.414. □ Not Met • Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.c. ☐ Not Applicable 42 CFR 455.104(c)(1)(iii) FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract • Pg. 16 Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
	Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure		
d. Within 35 days of any change in ownership of a disclosing entity. 42 CFR 455.104(c)(1)(iv)	 MSHN FY2018 Medicaid Subcontracting Agreement Pg. 31, Section XXVI 1.c. FY 18 SUD Treatment Contract Pgs. 16 & 23 Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Policy Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions 	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	Procedure v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	he Requirement	
A policy <i>Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions</i> and procedure <i>Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions</i> outlines the requirements of the disclosures. The <i>MSHN Ownership, Control, and Criminal Conviction Disclosure</i> statement (form) includes required information and sites 42 CFR 455 for reference. Contracts include language regarding disclosure requirements.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
3. Monitoring Provider Networks			
MDHHS Contract Part I-34.1			
 The PIHP must search the OIG exclusions database monthly to capture exclusions since the last search and at any time providers submit new disclosure information. 	Credentialing Background Checks and PSV Procedure Screen shot verify comply monthly e-mail Feb - April	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
MDHHS Contract Part I-34.1	Verify comply user manual	rr ·····	
	The Right Door_MSHN CMHSP Delegate Functions Final, Pg. 12 Standard 4.13		
	Catholic Charities of West MI SUD Delegated Functions, Pg 33 Standard 10.15		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	v the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement	
Credentialing Background Checks and PSV Procedure requires a process to ensure that the OIG exclusions database is searched monthly for its entire network to capture exclusions since the last search and at any time providers submit new disclosure information. Since this is delegated to the provider network, contracts include language specific to monthly OIG searches. The delegated functions audit tool includes a standard for monitoring provider networks. An example is included in the final report for the delegated functions audit for The Right Door and Catholic Charities of West MI.			
Also provided is a screen shot of Verify Comply e-mail for the month of Feb – April. This demonstrates MSHNs monthly monitoring of its provider network MSHN purchased the Verify Comply subscription in 2015. The Verify Comply user manual provides more information on the services. Our account is setup to search the OIG, SAM, and Michigan Sanctioned provider list.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Requirement **Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP** Score 4. Reporting Criminal Convictions Involved The PIHP is required to promptly notify the Division of Program Development, Consultation and Contracts, Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities Administration in MDHHS if: 42 CFR 1001.1001 42 CFR 455.106 MDHHS Contract Part I-34.2 a. Any disclosures made by providers with regard to the ⊠ Met Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement ownership or control by a person that has been convicted of a □ Not Met criminal offense described under sections 1128(a) and ☐ Not Applicable Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions 1128(b)(1)(2), or (3) of the Social Security Act, or that have Policy had civil money penalties or assessments imposed under section 1128A of the Act. Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions Procedure MDHHS Contract Part I-34.2(a) Credentialing Background Checks and PSV Procedure b. Any staff member, director, or manager of the PIHP, ⊠ Met Disclosure, Ownership and Controlling Interest Statement individual with beneficial ownership of five percent or more, □ Not Met or an individual with an employment, consulting or other ☐ Not Applicable Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions arrangement with PIHP has been convicted of a criminal Policy offense described under sections 1128(a) and 1128(b)(1)(2), or (3) of the Social Security Act, or that have had civil money Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions penalties or assessments imposed under section 1128A of the Procedure Act. MDHHS Contract Part I-34.2(b) Credentialing Background Checks and PSV Procedure



Standard XV—Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions

Requirement Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP Score

PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement

A policy *Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions* and procedure *Disclosure of Ownership, Control, and Criminal Convictions* outlines the requirements of the disclosures. Credentialing Background Checks and PSV Procedure outlines notification requirements. Contracts include language regarding disclosure requirements.

HSAG Findings

HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.

Results—Standard XV						
Met	Met = 14 X 1.0					
Not Met = 0 X .00					ш	0
Not Applicable	Not Applicable = 0					
Total Applicable = 14 Total Score					ш	14
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable =				=	100%	



Standard XVII—Management Information Systems			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
1. Management Information Systems (MIS)			
42 CFR 438.242 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7			
a. The PIHP shall ensure that Management Information Systems and practices have the capacity that the obligations of its	1a1_Information_Management_Policy	⊠ Met	
contract are fulfilled by the entity and/or its subcontractors.	1a2_Record_Retention_Policy	☐ Not Met ☐ Not Applicable	
42 CFR 438.242 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7	1a3_Breach_Notification_Policy		
	1a4_Compliance_Required_Reporting_Policy		
	1a5_MSHN_FY2018_Delegation_Grid		
	1a6_InformationTechnologyCouncil_Charter2017		
	1a7_InformationTechnologyCouncil_Snapshot2017Sep20		
	1a8_MSHN_CMHSP_DelegatedFunctionsAudit 14-1 thru 14-9		
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the Requirement			
MSHN has a management information system to support the retained fu The policies, charter, delegation grid, system guides and reporting stand		ents for the region.	
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with this element.			



Sta	indard XVII—Management Information Systems		
Re	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
2.	Uniform Data and Information		
	42 CFR 438.242 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.1		
	a. To measure the PIHP's accomplishments in the areas of access to care, utilization, service outcomes, recipient	MSHN_EncounterComparisonReporting_FY2015-2017	☑ Met☑ Not Met
	satisfactions, and to provide sufficient information to track expenditures and calculate future capitation rates, the PIHP	FY2017 MSHN MUNC Templates 02-28-2018	□ Not Applicable
	must provide MDHHS with uniform data and information specified by MDHHS.	FY2017 FINAL MSHN FSRBUNDLE 02-28-18	
	MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.1	MDHHS approval letter	
		FC Dashboard FY2017	
		2017 Risk Management Strategy Mid State Health Network	
	b. The PIHP must certify that the data they submit are accurate, complete and truthful:		
	 i. An annual certification from and signed by the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer, or a 	MSHN_EncounterComparisonReporting_FY2015-2017	✓ Met☐ Not Met
	designee who reports directly to either must be submitted annually.	FY2017 FINAL MSHN FSRBUNDLE 02-28-18	☐ Not Applicable
	MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.1	MDHHS approval letter	



Standard XVII—Management Information Systems				
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score		
ii. The certification must attest to the accuracy, completeness, and truthfulness of the information in each of the sets of data.	MSHN_EncounterComparisonReporting_FY2015-2017 FY2017 FINAL MSHN FSRBUNDLE 02-28-18	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable		
MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.1	MDHHS approval letter			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement		
Fiscal information is gathered through the Medicaid Utilization Net Cost report and the Financial Status Report (FSR). Both reports provide different formats for regional expenditure tracking. MSHN's general ledger system also tracks internal administrative expenses as well as those related to the Substance Use Disorder provider network.				
The PIHP Chief Financial Officer (CFO) reviews all financial reports prior to submission to MDHHS. This process includes review of source data used to complete reports and an assessment of reasonable for the numbers submitted. The Financial Status report, the Utilization Net Cost reports, and all other fiscal reports are submitted by MSHN's Deputy Director to ensure MDHHS timeliness standard are met. Many of the reports submitted also require the CFO's signature.				
MSHN reviews and confirms accuracy of encounter and cost reports to meet the requirements of the contract.				
HSAG Findings				
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.				



Sta	andard XVII—Management Information Systems		
Re	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
3.	Information System Management The PIHP must have an information management system that supports the core administrative activities of the region including: Attachment P13.0.B		
	a. The ability to detect and correct errors in data receipt, transmissions and analyses. Attachment P13.0.B-2.3(g)	3a1_EncounterValidations201702 3a2_4950_InstitutionalEncounter_ResponseFile 3a3_4950_ProfessionalEncounter_ResponseFile 3a4_5476_EncounterAcknowledgment	
		3a5_5876_BHTEDSAcknowledgment 3a6_MSHN_ReconciliationAnalysis2017	
	b. This includes screening for completeness, logic, and consistency; and identifying and tracking fraud and abuse. Attachment P13.0.B-2.3(g)	3a1_EncounterValidations201702 3b1_BHTEDS_ERROR_ DESCRIPTION_FY2018	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable
		MSHN_EncounterComparisonReporting_FY2015-2017 3b2_MSHN_EncounterMonitoringFormFY2017 3b_Medicaid Event Verification Policy	



Standard XVII—Management Information Systems			
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
c. The ability (within limits of law) to safely and securely send and receive data to and from other systems which includes, but is not limited to, the State of Michigan, health plans and providers systems including physical health and non-healthcare support systems of care. Attachment P13.0.B-2.3(h)	3b_Medicaid Event Verification Procedure 3b_Medicaid Event Verification FY2017 Report 3c1_SOM_SecureFileTransferProtocol_Guide 3c2_MSHN_eMail_Office365_ContentEncryption 3c3_MDHHS_5010A1_HIPAA837P_EncounterCompanion Guide 3c4_MSHN_ACRS_FileCreationProcess 3c5_MSHN_ADTMessageProcessing		
	3c6_MSHN_ADT_MessageProcessingToZTS	D	
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	-		
Encounter validation is performed with a specific set of rules that match MDHHS reporting requirements. MSHN provides response files that support the reporting requirements. Reconciliation files are utilized for cross-matching all records transmitted and confirmed through the submission process. MSHN performs Medicaid Event Verification (MEV) for all providers to evaluate potential and risk for fraud and abuse.			
HSAG Findings			
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Sta	Standard XVII—Management Information Systems			
Red	quirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score	
4.	Enrollee Encounter Data			
	42 CFR 438.242 MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.2 Attachment P7.7.1.1			
	a. In order to assess quality of care, determine utilization patterns and access to care for various health care services, affirm capitation rate calculations and estimates, the PIHP	MSHN_EncounterComparisonReporting_FY2015-2017	☑ Met☐ Not Met☐ Not Applicable	
	shall submit encounter data containing detail for each recipient encounter reflecting all services provided by the PIHP.	3EEDa1_MSHN_CMHSP_EncounterSubmissionTimeliness FY2018	Tr	
	42 CFR 438.242(c)(2) MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7.2	3EEDa2_MSHN_EncounterSubmissionGuide_201707		
		3a6_MSHN_ReconciliationAnalysis2017		
		3EEDa3_MDHHS_Milliman_EncounterReview		
	b. The PIHP collects and maintains sufficient enrollee encounter data to identify the provider who delivers any item(s) or service(s) to enrollees:			
	42 CFR 438.242(c)(1) Attachment P7.7.1.1			
	i. The encounter requires a small set of specific demographic data: gender, diagnosis, Medicaid number,	3EEDb1_MDHHS_BHRegistry_Requirements pages 14-15	☑ Met☐ Not Met	
	race, social security number and name of the consumer, and the provider name and identification number, place	3EEDb2_CareNet_270_271_Guide	☐ Not Applicable	
	of service, and amount paid. Attachment P7.7.1.1	3c3_MDHHS_5010A1_HIPAA837P_EncounterCompanion Guide		



Standard XVII—Management Information Systems		
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With the	ne Requirement
MSHN subscribes to the HIPAA 837 transaction set standard and validat utilizes the encounter demographic data to build client registry file and to		translator. MSHN
HSAG Findings		
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		
5. Oversight of CMHSPs MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7 a. A PIHP organized as a regional entity may have a single CMHSP perform PIHP health plan information technology functions on behalf of the regional entity if each of the following requirements are met: MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(2) i. The contract between the PIHP and the CMHSP clearly describes the CMHSP's contractual responsibility to the	4a1_MSHN_CEI_QI_BHTEDS_EncounterReporting_ ContractFY2018	☐ Met ☐ Not Met
PIHP for the health plan information technology related functions. MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(2) ii. The contract between the PIHP and the CMHSP for PIHP health plan information technology functions shall be	4a1_MSHN_CEI_QI_BHTEDS_EncounterReporting_ ContractFY2018	☑ Not Applicable☐ Met☐ Not Met
separate from other EHR functions performed as a CMHSP. MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(2)		⊠ Not Applicable



Standard XVII—Management Information Systems					
Requirement	Evidence as Submitted by the PIHP	Score			
b. The PIHP shall analyze claims and encounter data to create information about region wide and CMHSP specific service	FY2017 MSHN MUNC Templates 02-28-2018	☑ Met☐ Not Met			
utilization. MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(3)	4b1_MSHN_EncounterComparisonFY2017	☐ Not Applicable			
c. The PIHP shall provide regular reports to each CMHSP as to how the CMHSP's individual utilization compares to the	4b1_MSHN_EncounterComparisonFY2017	☑ Met☐ Not Met			
PIHP's region as a whole. MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(3)	4c1_MSHN_PenetrationRatesFY2015-2017	☐ Not Applicable			
d. The PIHP shall utilize this information to inform risk management strategies and other health plan functions.	MDHHS approval letter	☑ Met☐ Not Met			
MDHHS Contract Part II A-7.7(3)	2017 Risk Management Strategy Mid State Health Network	☐ Not Applicable			
PIHP Narrative: Provide a Description of the Process/Describe How	the Documents Submitted Demonstrate Compliance With t	he Requirement			
MSHN provides oversight to the CMHSPs in its region. The contractual arrangement with CEI to provide information management services for QI, BHTEDS and Encounter reporting is defined with a clear separation of duty. Utilization is evaluated consistently using the Utilization Net Cost Report and Encounter Comparison Report. Review and oversight is completed regarding changes in utilization and shifts in funding sources, along with volume of encounters submitted monthly, quarterly and annually. A risk management plan is developed with risk strategies based on relevant information.					
5b: This requirement is met through the Utilization Net Cost reports. CMHSP information is completed individually and then aggregated for a final Regional submission to MDHHS.					
5c: This information is compiled in the Finance Council dashboard for several codes identified based on high cost or high unit HCPCS and/or CPT codes.					
5d: The Risk Management plan is submitted to MDHHS in December each year. The plan and the MDHHS approval is submitted as proof for this requirement.					
HSAG Findings					
HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.		HSAG determined that the PIHP was compliant with these elements.			



Results—Standard XVII						
Met	II	14	Х	1.0	=	14
Not Met	II	0	Х	.00	ı	0
Not Applicable	=	0				
Total Applicable	=	14	Total Score		=	14
Total Score ÷ Total Applicable					=	100%