

## REGIONAL OPERATIONS COUNCIL/CEO MEETING

Key Decisions and Required Action

Date: 02/23/2026

**Members Present:** Ryan Painter; Cassie Watson; Carol Mills; Julie Majeske; Tracey Dore; Tammy Warner; Kerry Possehn; Michelle Stillwagon; Bryan Krogman; Sara Lurie, Jeff Labun

**Members Absent:** Chris Pinter; Sandy Lindsey;

**MSHN Staff Present:** Joseph Sedlock (For Assigned Portions: Leslie Thomas, Kim Zimmerman, Skye Pletcher)

Agenda Item	Action Required				
<b>CONSENT AGENDA</b>	Reviewed				
	No discussion; support moving these documents to next applicable stage	By Who	N/A	By When	N/A
<b>FY26 SAVINGS ESTIMATES (THROUGH 12/31/25)</b>	L. Thomas reviewed the FY 26 Savings Estimates through December 2025 (FY 26 Q1). Projecting surplus to year end, however, enrollments are declining, CCBHC capitation withdrawal to MDHHS (rebasing) is pending, both of which could impact projections significantly. Caution is recommended as this is only one quarter and noting the factors above.				
	No follow-up	By Who	N/A	By When	N/A
<b>FY25 COMPLIANCE PLAN:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</li> <li>• <a href="#">LINK TO FULL FY25 COMPLIANCE SUMMARY REPORT</a></li> </ul>	K. Zimmerman reviewed the FY 25 Compliance Summary Annual Plan noting several significant accomplishments in FY 25 and recommendations that will be addressed in FY 26.				
	Operations Council supports moving this to the next approval stage	By Who	K. Zimmerman	By When	03/30/26
<b>2026 DRAFT PRIVACY NOTICE</b>	K. Zimmerman reviewed changes to the regional privacy notices				
	Support for changes; final version will be distributed by the end of this week.	By Who	K/ Zimmerman	By When	02/28/2026
<b>MENTAL HEALTH FRAMEWORK (Q&amp;A)</b>	S. Pletcher reviewed the ongoing advocacy efforts of the region and our PIHP colleagues across the state. There is very little communication from policy makers at the State and serious questions and concerns that have to be overcome. The State has not articulated even a draft funding model. MSHN and our regional CMHSPs remain opposed to the implementation of the mental health framework as we currently understand it and see it as another brick in the state effort to privatize the public behavioral health system.				
	Continue advocacy at the local, regional, and statewide levels	By Who	PIHPs and CMHSPs	By When	Ongoing
<b>NETWORK ADEQUACY ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE</b>	J. Sedlock reviewed the network adequacy assessment procedure noting changes and that MSHN is working with the Provider Network Management and Clinical Leadership Committees to populate needed information.				

Agenda Item	Action Required				
	Support for moving forward	By Who	A. Ittner	By When	Ongoing
<b>PIHP PROCUREMENT DISCUSSION CONTINUATION/UPDATES (IF ANY)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>LAWSUIT/RULING UPDATES/DISCUSSION, IF ANY</b></li> <li>• <b>PROCUREMENT UPDATES/DISCUSSION, IF ANY</b></li> <li>• <b>FUTURE PLANNING DISCUSSION, IF ANY</b></li> </ul>	Discussed necessity of compliance with our contracts and responsibilities. Some complaints coming from MDHHS on self-determination (Waskul) implementation issues; DCW implementation, and a few others. Relating to Waskul, our region (and all regions) has taken a standby position until we get the answers we need to implement correctly from MDHHS. J. Sedlock summarized communications outreach to MDHHS from MSHN to engage in dialog, so far with minimal response and no effective engagement. Discussed formation of the CMHAM-Sponsored “Guidance Group” and its membership, as well as a newly formed CMHAM-sponsored “Inpatient/Pre-Admission Screening” group that is likely tied to Mental Health Framework.				
	No follow-up	By Who	N/A	By When	N/A
<b>WEDNESDAY CMHSP MEETING</b>	This meeting will take place as usual, but MSHN may be excused when CMHSPs wish to engage in financial or programmatic solution finding to address funding issues.				
	No follow-up	By Who	N/a	By When	N/A