

## RESOLUTION OF THE MID-STATE HEALTH NETWORK BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPPOSING CURRENTLY PROPOSED MODELS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF CONFLICT FREE ACCESS AND PLANNING IN MICHIGAN

### Community Mental Health Member Authorities

Bay-Arenac Behavioral Health

CMH of Clinton.Eaton.Ingham Counties



CMH for Central Michigan



Gratiot Integrated Health Network



Huron Behavioral Health



The Right Door for Hope, Recovery & Wellness (Ionia County)



LifeWays



Montcalm Care Network



Newaygo County Mental Health Center



Saginaw County CMH



Shiawassee Health & Wellness



Tuscola Behavioral Health Systems

### **Board Officers**

Edward Woods Chairperson

Irene O'Boyle *Vice-Chairperson* 

Kurt Peasley
Secretary

WHEREAS The Mid-State Health Network (MSHN) is a regional entity created in 2014 by the twelve community mental health services programs (CMHSPs) listed at left and functions as a Pre-Paid Inpatient Health Plan (PIHP) for twenty-one mid-Michigan counties under a master Medicaid specialty supports and services contract with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services. The MSHN Board of Directors is comprised of two appointees from each of the CMH Participants in the MSHN region, half of which are primary or secondary consumers of public behavioral health services.

WHEREAS the MSHN Board recognizes and stipulates that the federal Affordable Care Act and Social Security Act mandates that States "establish standards for the conduct of the independent evaluation and the independent assessment to safeguard against conflicts of interest."

WHEREAS the MSHN Board recognizes and stipulates that federal regulations implementing these statutory requirements compel states to require that services are accessed, planned, and delivered in a conflict-free manner.

WHEREAS The MSHN Board recognizes and stipulates that the "conflict free access and planning" federal statues, federal regulations, and compliance issues are complex and that State compliance with federal requirements is a responsibility of the State.

WHEREAS The MSHN Board acknowledges that, to determine the course(s) the State would pursue to comply with federal statutory and regulatory requirements, it organized and convened a workgroup of stakeholders to advise it on such matters. The result of this workgroup process was the publication of four options that the State indicated it would select among to implement or demonstrate compliance.

WHEREAS The MSHN Board has studied each option proposed by the State. The conclusions of the MSHN Board are that all currently proposed options:

- Increase barriers to effective and efficient access to specialty behavioral health services and supports;
- Increase the system complexity and confusion of current and future beneficiaries;
- Compromise the formation of the therapeutic alliance by deemphasizing the critical role of consistency and continuity in evidence-based care;
- Unnecessarily adds costs and fiscal irresponsibility with public dollars, redundancy, duplication and ignores thoughtful application of trauma sensitive systems of care;
- Degrades quality and accountability;
- Increase marginalization of highly vulnerable populations, detrimentally affecting engagement and social determinants of health;
- Ignores, at best, and disregards, at worst, input from persons with lived experience that have
  consistently stated that the available procedural safeguards are preferable to systemic/structural
  upheaval contained in each of the four state-proposed options to date;
- Ignore Michigan's current capitated system which already mitigates against conflict and self-interest;
- Are significantly at odds with the Certified Community Behavioral Health (CCBHC) initiative and requirements.

THEREFORE, BE IT UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED THAT, in the strongest possible terms, and for the reasons noted herein, the MSHN Board of Directors opposes all four of the currently proposed structural strategies for compliance with the federal Conflict Free Access and Planning Rules.

BE IT FURTHER UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED THAT the MSHN Board of Directors can support, and where necessary modifying (for the purpose of strengthening) existing procedural safeguards. The changes proposed by MDHHS are extreme, rushed, and in our view will not achieve the goal of ensuring that beneficiary services are free from conflict of interest and guided only by the desires of beneficiaries and their best interests.

Adopted May 2023



# RESOLUTION OF THE MID-STATE HEALTH NETWORK BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPPOSING CURRENTLY PROPOSED MODELS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF CONFLICT FREE ACCESS AND PLANNING IN MICHIGAN

#### MID-STATE HEALTH NETWORK BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Pat McFarland; Bay-Arenac Behavioral Health Brad Bohner; LifeWays

Joe Phillips; Community Mental Health for Central

Michigan

John Johansen; Montcalm Care Network

Michigan

Kerin Scanlon; Community Mental Health for Central

Kurt Peasley; Montcalm Care Network

Michigan

Joe Brehler; Community Mental Health Authority for
Ken DeLaat; Newaygo County Mental Health Center

Clinton, Eaton and Ingham Counties

Tina Hicks; Gratiot Integrated Health Network Susan Twing; Newaygo County Mental Health Center

Irene O'Boyle; Gratiot Integrated Health Network Tracey Raquepaw; Saginaw County CMH

Rich Swartzendruber; Huron Behavioral Health Joan Williams; Saginaw County CMH

Beverly Wiltse; Huron Behavioral Health Jeanne Ladd; Shiawassee Health & Wellness

Gretchen Nyland; The Right Door for Hope, Recovery
Phillip Moore; Shiawassee Health & Wellness

and Wellness

Deb McPeek-McFadden; The Right Door for Hope,

David Griesing; Tuscola Behavioral Health Systems

Recovery and Wellness

Dan Grimshaw; Tuscola Behavioral Health Systems

Ed Woods; LifeWays Chairperson